Some problems to be solved at home. Choose 4 of them, write down your solutions and mail them to me.

1. Given a triangle $A B C$. Let $d(P)=P A+P B+P C$ so it is the sum of the distances from $P$ to the vertices of the triangle. Prove that here exists a point $Q$ contained in the triangle $A B C$ such that $d(Q) \leqslant d(p)$ for every point $P$ of the given triangle.

Solution. Let us recall that if $Q, R, S$ are points (in the plane) then

$$
\|Q-S\|_{2} \leqslant\|Q-R\|_{2}+\|R-S\|_{2} .
$$

This inequality turns into equality iff $R$ lies on straight line segment with ends $Q, S$. This implies that $\|Q-S\|_{2}-\|Q-R\|_{2} \leqslant\|R-S\|_{2}$. Also $\|Q-R\|_{2}-\|Q-S\|_{2} \leqslant\|S-R\|_{2}=\|R-S\|_{2}$. Therefore $\left|\|Q-S\|_{2}-\|Q-R\|_{2}\right| \leqslant\|R-S\|_{2}$. Noe let $Q, R$ be any points on the plane. Be the previous inequalities we obtain
$|d(Q)-d(R)|=\left|\|Q-A\|_{2}+\|Q-B\|_{2}+\|Q-C\|_{2}-\|R-A\|_{2}-\|R-B\|_{2}-\|R-C\|_{2}\right| \leqslant$ $\leqslant\left|\|Q-A\|_{2}-\|R-A\|_{2}\right|+\left|\left|\left|Q-B\left\|_{2}-\right\| R-B\left\|_{2}\left|+\left|\|Q-C\|_{2}-\|R-C\|_{2}\right| \leqslant 3\|Q-R\|_{2}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$. This proves that $d$ is a continuous function on the plane. It one thinks of a triangle $A B C$ only then the function is considered on a compact set set. By Weierstrass Maximum Principle it attains minimum at some point of the triangle. QED

Remark. The solution contains no indication of how to find the point at which the minimum is attained nor wether there is one such point or more. What is your guess?
2. Find all critical points of the function $f$ and its least upper bound and its greatest lower bound if $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}(1+x)^{3}$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Solution. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=2 x+3 y^{2}(1+x)^{2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=2 y(1+x)^{3}$. At a critical point both partial derivatives should equal to $0 . \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=2 y(1+x)^{3}=0$ iff $y=0$ or $x=-1$. It $y=0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=2 x+3 y^{2}(1+x)^{2}=0$ the $y=0$. If $x=-1$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=2 x+3 y^{2}(1+x)^{2}=0$ then $x=0$ a contradiction. Thus there is only one critical point namely $(0,0)$. If $x>-1$ then $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}(1+x)^{3} \geqslant 0$ and $f(x, y)=0$ iff $x=y=0$. This means that the function $f$ has local minimum at $(0,0)$. $f(x, 1)=x^{2}+(1+x)^{3} \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow \infty]{ }+\infty$ and $f(x, 1)=x^{2}+(1+x)^{3} \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow-\infty]{\longrightarrow}-\infty$. This proves that $f$ is unbounded from above so $\sup f=+\infty$ and it is unbounded from below so $\inf f=-\infty$.

Remark. One may evaluate second partial derivatives at $(0,0) \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}=2+6 y^{2}(1+x), \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y}=$ $6 y(1+x)^{2}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}}=2(1+x)^{3}$. This implies that $d^{2} f(0,0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$. This matrix is positively defined because its eigenvalues are 2,2 so they are positive. One may also use the Sylvester theorem or the definition of positively defined matrix that. The last method leads to looking at the expression $2 \cdot x^{2}+2 \cdot 0 \cdot x y+2 \cdot y^{2}=2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$. This positive for all $(x, y)$ except for $x=0=y$. So the matrix is positively defined and the function has a local minimum at ( 0,0 ).
3. (a) Let $f(x, y)=6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-50 x^{3}-90 x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2}$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Find all critical points of $f$, determine their charakter (local minimum, local maximum or saddle), find $\sup f$ and $\inf f$.
(b) Let $g(x, y)=6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-50 x^{3}-90 x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+(x+1)^{2}(x-2)^{2}\right)^{2}$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Find all critical points of $g$, determine their charakter (local minimum, local maximum or saddle), find $\sup g$ and $\inf g$.
(c) Let $h(x, y)=6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-50 x^{3}-90 x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+(x+1)^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2}$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Find all critical points of $h$, determine their charakter (local minimum, local maximum or saddle), find $\sup h$ and $\inf h$.

Solution. Let $p(x)=6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-50 x^{3}-90 x^{2}$. We have
$p^{\prime}(x)=30 x^{4}+60 x^{3}-150 x^{2}-180 x=30 x\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}-5 x-6\right)=30 x(x+1)(x-2)(x+3)$.

This implies that $p^{\prime}(x)>0$ iff $x \in(2, \infty) \cup(-1,0) \cup(-\infty,-3)$. This implies that the function $p$ increases (strictly) on each of the intervals $(-\infty,-3],[-1,0],[2, \infty)$ and decreases (strictly) on each of the intervals $[-3,-1]$ and $[0,2]$.

Let $\alpha(x, y)=p(x)$. The function $\alpha$ has local minima at points $(-1, y),(2, y)$ independently of $y$ and local maxima at points $(-3, y),(0, y)$. All are of course improper.

Let $\varphi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2}$. We have $\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial y}=-e^{2 y}\left(-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)=0 \mathrm{iff}$ $e^{2 y}=x^{2}(x+3)^{2}$. Now $\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x}=p^{\prime}(x)+x(x+3)(2 x+3)\left(-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\nabla f(x, y)=\left(p^{\prime}(x)+\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial y}\right)=(0,0)
$$

iff $e^{2 y}=x^{2}(x+3)^{2}$ and $p^{\prime}(x)=0$ i.e. either $x=-3$ or $x=-1$ or $x=0$ or $x=2$. Obviously $\varphi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2} \geqslant 0$ everywhere so at all points $(x, y)$ at which $-e^{2 y}+x^{2}(x+3)^{2}=0$ it has an absolute minimum. Since $0<e^{2 y}$ it follows that either $x=-1$ or $x=2$. We proved that $f$ has 2 critical points $(-1, \ln 2)$ and $(2, \ln 10)$. Since the functions $\varphi_{1}$ and $\alpha$ have local minima at $(2, \ln 10)$ their sum $f$ has a local minimum at $(2, \ln 10)$, too. Since this is an isolated critical point of $f$ the local minimum is proper. This means that if $x \approx 2$ and $y \approx \ln 10$ and $(x, y) \neq(2, \ln 10)$ then $f(x, y)>f(2, \ln 10)$. We do not explain how close to $(2, \ln 10)$ the point $(x, y)$ should be because this information is not necessary for the solution of the problem.

All we wrote above applies to the point $(-1, \ln 2)$ The main point is that the polynomial $p$ has local minima at -1 and at 2 .

Let $\varphi_{2}(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+(x+1)^{2}(x-2)^{2}\right)^{2}$, so $g(x, y)=p(x)+\varphi_{2}(x, y)=\alpha(x, y)+\varphi_{2}(x, y)$. The situation is a little bit different from the one discussed above. The difference is that now the critical points are $(0, \ln 2)$ and $(-3, \ln 15)$. At the points 0 and 3 the polynomial $p$ has local maxima so does the function $\alpha$ at the points $(0, \ln 2)$ and $(-3, \ln 15)$. But the function $\varphi_{2}$ has local minima at the points $(0, \ln 2)$ and $(-3, \ln 15)$. We shall prove that the function $g$ has saddles at the points $(0, \ln 2)$ and $(-3, \ln 15)$. If one moves away from $(0, \ln 2)$ along the line $x=0(y-$ axis) then $\varphi_{2}(x, y)$ becomes strictly positive so $f(x, y)>f(0, \ln 2)$. If one moves away from the point $(0, \ln 2)$ along the graph of the function $y=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left((x+1)^{2}(x-2)^{2}\right)=\ln ((x+1)(2-x))$ w otoczeniu punktu 0 then the function $f$ decreases because $\varphi_{2}$ does it and the value of $\alpha$ is 0 at all points of the graph. The same argument applies to the point $(-3, \ln 15)$.

Let $\varphi_{3}(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+(x+1)^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2}$, so
$h(x, y)=\alpha(x, y)+\varphi_{3}(x, y)=6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-50 x^{3}-90 x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-e^{2 y}+(x+1)^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)^{2}$.

Applying the same arguments as above we discover the critical points $(0, \ln 3)$ and $(2, \ln 15)$. At the first one the function $f$ has a saddle while at the second one it has local minimum.

Remark. One may also evaluate the second derivatives of $f, g$ and $h$ at the critical points and decide by investigating the $d^{2} f, d^{2} g$ and $d^{2} h$. We have
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=30\left(x^{4}+2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}-6 x\right)+x(x+3)(2 x+3)\left(\left(x^{2}+3 x\right)^{2}-e^{2 y}\right)$,
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=-e^{2 y}\left(-e^{2 y}+\left(x^{2}+3 x\right)^{2}\right)$ and
$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}=60\left(2 x^{3}+3 x^{2}-5 x-3\right)+\left(6 x^{2}+18 x+9\right)\left(\left(x^{2}+3 x\right)^{2}-e^{2 y}\right)+2 x^{2}(x+3)^{2}(2 x+3)^{2}$,
$\left.\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y}=-2 x(x+3)(2 x+3) e^{2 y}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y^{2}}=4 e^{4 y}-2 e^{2 y} x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)=2 e^{2 y}\left(2 e^{2 y}-x^{2}(x+3)^{2}\right)$.
Therefore $d^{2} f(-1, \ln 2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}188 & 16 \\ 16 & 32\end{array}\right)$ and $d^{2} f(2, \ln 10)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}10800 & -14000 \\ -14000 & 20000\end{array}\right)$. The entries at left upper corners are positive, also the determinants of both matrices are positive so the matrices are positively defined and the function $f$ has local minima at both points.

There are no other critical points so there are no saddles nor local maxima. The function fis unbounded from above since $f(x, 0) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{ } \infty$. Since $f(x, \ln ((x+1)(x+3))) \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow-\infty]{ }-\infty$ it is also unbounded from below .
4. Let $f(x, y)=\left(x^{2}-y\right)\left(4 x^{2}-y\right)$. Prove that if the domain of $f$ is restricted to any line $L$ through the origin then it has a proper local minimum at $(0,0)$, i.e. there is $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathbf{p} \in L$ and $\|\mathbf{p}\|<\delta$ and $\mathbf{p} \neq(0,0)$ then $f(\mathbf{p})>f(0,0)=0$. Prove that $f$ does not have local minimum at the origin.


Solution. We have $f(0, y)=y^{2}$ and obviously this function attains its smallest value at 0 . We are done with one line. Let us assume now that $y=a x$ for some real number $a \neq 0$. We have
$f(x, a x)=\left(x^{2}-a x\right)\left(4 x^{2}-a x\right)=$ $=x^{2}(a-x)(a-4 x)$. If $0<|x|<\frac{|a|}{4}$ then $x^{2}(a-x)(a-4 x)>0$ because either both numbers $(a-x),(a-4 x)$ are positive or both are negative so their product is positive. So $f$ restricted to the line $y=a x$ has local minimum at the point $(0,0)$. Since $f(x, 0)=4 x^{4}$ the same is true for the $x$-axis. On the other hand $f\left(x, 2 x^{2}\right)=-2 x^{4}<0$ for all $x \neq 0$. Therefore at any neighbourhood of the origin one can find a point at which the value of the function is less (strictly) than at $(0,0)$. Therefore the function $f$ does not have minimum at $(0,0)$.
Remark. The inequality $f(x, y)>0$ holds if either $y>4 x^{2}$ or if $y<x^{2}$. The inequality $f(x, y)<0$ holds if $4 x^{2}>y>x^{2}$. This in equality changes when one of the red curves is overstepped

