Coinductive types in Coq

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrząszcz

14 May 2024

General recursion will make Coq inconsistent

```
Fixpoint bad (u : unit) : P := bad u.
```

Fixpoint definition has its "guard condition" (recursive calls has to be done on structurally smaller terms) and it reduces only when aki (the argument one does recursion on) starts with a constructor:

```
(Fix f a1 ... aki) -> ti a1 ... aki
```

General recursion will make Coq inconsistent

```
Fixpoint bad (u : unit) : P := bad u.
```

Fixpoint definition has its "guard condition" (recursive calls has to be done on structurally smaller terms) and it reduces only when aki (the argument one does recursion on) starts with a constructor:

```
(Fix f a1 ... aki) -> ti a1 ... aki
```

General recursion will make Coq inconsistent

```
Fixpoint bad (u : unit) : P := bad u.
```

Fixpoint definition has its "guard condition" (recursive calls has to be done on structurally smaller terms) and it reduces only when aki (the argument one does recursion on) starts with a constructor:

```
(Fix f a1 ... aki) -> ti a1 ... aki
```

General recursion will make Coq inconsistent

```
Fixpoint bad (u : unit) : P := bad u.
```

Fixpoint definition has its "guard condition" (recursive calls has to be done on structurally smaller terms) and it reduces only when aki (the argument one does recursion on) starts with a constructor:

```
(Fix f a1 ... aki) -> ti a1 ... aki
```

```
CoInductive LList (A:Set) :Set :=
   LNil : LList A
| LCons : A -> LList A -> LList A
```

- terms built from constructors
- LList is the greatest set of terms built from LNil i LCons containing infinite and finite terms
- induction principle does not hold
- constructors are injective and distinct (one may use tactics injection and discriminate)

```
CoInductive LList (A:Set) :Set :=
   LNil : LList A
   LCons : A -> LList A -> LList A
```

terms built from constructors

- LList is the greatest set of terms built from LNil i LCons containing infinite and finite terms
- induction principle does not hold
- constructors are injective and distinct (one may use tactics injection and discriminate)

```
CoInductive LList (A:Set) :Set :=
   LNil : LList A
   LCons : A -> LList A -> LList A
```

- terms built from constructors
- LList is the greatest set of terms built from LNil i LCons containing infinite and finite terms
- induction principle does not hold
- constructors are injective and distinct (one may use tactics injection and discriminate)

```
CoInductive LList (A:Set) :Set :=
   LNil : LList A
   LCons : A -> LList A -> LList A
```

- terms built from constructors
- LList is the greatest set of terms built from LNil i LCons containing infinite and finite terms
- induction principle does not hold
- constructors are injective and distinct (one may use tactics injection and discriminate)

```
CoInductive LList (A:Set) :Set :=
   LNil : LList A
   LCons : A -> LList A -> LList A
```

- terms built from constructors
- LList is the greatest set of terms built from LNil i LCons containing infinite and finite terms
- induction principle does not hold
- constructors are injective and distinct (one may use tactics injection and discriminate)

Lazy trees — LTree

```
CoInductive LTree (A:Set) :Set :=
   LLeaf : LTree A
| LBin : A -> LTree A -> LTree A
```

- finite and infinite trees
- some branches can be infinite

Lazy trees — LTree

```
CoInductive LTree (A:Set) :Set :=
   LLeaf : LTree A
| LBin : A -> LTree A -> LTree A
```

- finite and infinite trees
- some branches can be infinite

Lazy trees — LTree

```
CoInductive LTree (A:Set) :Set :=
   LLeaf : LTree A
| LBin : A -> LTree A -> LTree A
```

- finite and infinite trees
- some branches can be infinite

Streams — Stream

```
CoInductive Stream (A:Set) :Set :=
Cons : A -> Stream A -> Stream A
```

- there are no finite streams
- every stream is of the form Cons a 1

Streams — Stream

```
CoInductive Stream (A:Set) :Set :=
Cons : A -> Stream A -> Stream A
```

- there are no finite streams
- every stream is of the form Cons a 1

Streams — Stream

```
CoInductive Stream (A:Set) :Set :=
Cons : A -> Stream A -> Stream A
```

- there are no finite streams
- every stream is of the form Cons a 1

Definition isEmpty (A:Type) (1:LList A) : Prop :=

```
Definition isEmpty (A:Type) (1:LList A) : Prop :=
  match 1 with
  | LNil => True
  LCons a l' => False
  end.
Definition LHead (A:Type) (1:LList A) : option A :=
  match 1 with
  | LNil => None
  LCons a l' => Some a
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in (LNth 2 (LCons 4 (LCons 3 (LCons 90 LNil)))).

= Some 90 : option nat
```

```
Fixpoint LNth (A:Type) (n:nat) (1:LList A) {struct n} :
option A :=
  match 1 with
  LNil => None
  LCons a l' => match n with
                   0 \Rightarrow Some a
                   | S p => LNth p 1'
                  end
  end.
Eval compute in (LNth 2 (LCons 4 (LCons 3 (LCons 90 LNil)))).
  = Some 90 : option nat
```

Goal: to represent infinite objects in a finite way. Failed attempt:

```
Fixpoint from (n:nat) {struct n} : LList nat :=
  Lcons n (from (S n)).
```

Reason: recursive call from is not applied to structurally smaller

```
CoFixpoint from (n:nat) : LList nat := LCons n (from (S n)).
```

Goal: to represent infinite objects in a finite way. Failed attempt:

```
Fixpoint from (n:nat) {struct n} : LList nat :=
  Lcons n (from (S n)).
```

Reason: recursive call from is not applied to structurally smaller argument. Successful attempt:

```
CoFixpoint from (n:nat) : LList nat := LCons n (from (S n)).

Definition Nats : LList nat := from 0.
```

Goal: to represent infinite objects in a finite way. Failed attempt:

```
Fixpoint from (n:nat) {struct n} : LList nat :=
  Lcons n (from (S n)).
```

Reason: recursive call from is not applied to structurally smaller argument. Successful attempt:

```
CoFixpoint from (n:nat) : LList nat := LCons n (from (S n)).

Definition Nats : LList nat := from 0.
```

Goal: to represent infinite objects in a finite way. Failed attempt:

```
Fixpoint from (n:nat) {struct n} : LList nat :=
  Lcons n (from (S n)).
```

Reason: recursive call from is not applied to structurally smaller argument. Successful attempt:

```
CoFixpoint from (n:nat) : LList nat := LCons n (from (S n)).

Definition Nats : LList nat := from 0.
```

- all computations in Coq are finite,
- recursive function consumes values of an inductive type,
- corecursive function produces values in a coinductive type,
- result may be infinite, but its every finite aproximation should be computable in finite time,
- corecursive functions have its "guard conditions".

- all computations in Coq are finite,
- recursive function consumes values of an inductive type,
- corecursive function produces values in a coinductive type,
- result may be infinite, but its every finite aproximation should be computable in finite time,
- corecursive functions have its "guard conditions".

- all computations in Coq are finite,
- recursive function consumes values of an inductive type,
- corecursive function produces values in a coinductive type,
- result may be infinite, but its every finite aproximation should be computable in finite time,
- corecursive functions have its "guard conditions".

- all computations in Coq are finite,
- recursive function consumes values of an inductive type,
- corecursive function produces values in a coinductive type,
- result may be infinite, but its every finite aproximation should be computable in finite time,
- corecursive functions have its "guard conditions".

- all computations in Coq are finite,
- recursive function consumes values of an inductive type,
- corecursive function produces values in a coinductive type,
- result may be infinite, but its every finite aproximation should be computable in finite time,
- corecursive functions have its "guard conditions".

Definition by cofixpoint is correct if every (co) recursive call is one of the arguments of some constructor of a coniductive type.

- similarity: in lazy programming languages constructors do not evaluate its arguments
- if coinductive values are matched against patterns, then guard condition ensures that every recursive call of a corecursive function produces in a finite time its head-constructor
- recursive function reduces when it is applied to a value with constructor in head position; corecursive function reduces when it is an argument to pattern-matching

Definition by cofixpoint is correct if every (co)recursive call is one of the arguments of some constructor of a coniductive type.

- similarity: in lazy programming languages constructors do not evaluate its arguments
- if coinductive values are matched against patterns, then guard condition ensures that every recursive call of a corecursive function produces in a finite time its head-constructor
- recursive function reduces when it is applied to a value with constructor in head position; corecursive function reduces when it is an argument to pattern-matching

Definition by cofixpoint is correct if every (co) recursive call is one of the arguments of some constructor of a coniductive type.

- similarity: in lazy programming languages constructors do not evaluate its arguments
- if coinductive values are matched against patterns, then guard condition ensures that every recursive call of a corecursive function produces in a finite time its head-constructor
- recursive function reduces when it is applied to a value with constructor in head position; corecursive function reduces when it is an argument to pattern-matching

Definition by cofixpoint is correct if every (co)recursive call is one of the arguments of some constructor of a coniductive type.

- similarity: in lazy programming languages constructors do not evaluate its arguments
- if coinductive values are matched against patterns, then guard condition ensures that every recursive call of a corecursive function produces in a finite time its head-constructor
- recursive function reduces when it is applied to a value with constructor in head position; corecursive function reduces when it is an argument to pattern-matching

Examples

```
Eval simpl in (from 3).
  = from 3 : LLIst nat
Eval simpl in (LHead (LTail (from 3))).
  = Some 4 : option nat
```

Examples

```
Eval simpl in (from 3).
  = from 3 : LLIst nat
Eval simpl in (LHead (LTail (from 3))).
  = Some 4 : option nat
CoFixpoint forever (A:Type)(a:A):LList A:=LCons a (forever a).
```

Examples

```
Eval simpl in (from 3).
  = from 3 : LLIst nat
Eval simpl in (LHead (LTail (from 3))).
  = Some 4 : option nat
CoFixpoint forever (A:Type)(a:A):LList A:=LCons a (forever a).
CoFixpoint LAppend (A:Type) (u v:LList A) : LList A :=
  match u with
  LNil => v
  | LCons a u' => LCons a (LAppend u' v)
  end.
Eval compute in (LNth 123 (LAppend (forever 33) Nats)).
  = Some 33 : option nat
Eval compute in
  (LNth 123 (LAppend (LCons 0 (LCons 1 (LCons 2 LNil))) Nats)).
  = Some 120 : option nat
```

Incorrect definitions by cofixpoint

would cause an infinite computation

Incorrect definitions by cofixpoint

```
CoFixpoint filter (A:Set) (p: A->bool) (1:LList A) : LList A
:=
  match 1 with
  LNil => LNil
  | LCons a l' => if (p a) then LCons a (filter p l')
                       else (filter p l') end.
LHead (filter (fun p:nat =>
                 match p with 0 => true | S n => false end)
              (from 1))
```

would cause an infinite computation

Decomposition lemmas

```
Definition LList_decompose (A:Type) (1:LList A) : LList A :=
  match 1 with
  LNil => LNil
  LCons a 1' => LCons a 1'
  end.
Eval simpl in (LList_decompose (forever 33)).
 = LCons 33 (forever 33) : LList nat
```

Decomposition lemmas

```
Definition LList_decompose (A:Type) (1:LList A) : LList A :=
  match 1 with
  LNil => LNil
  LCons a 1' => LCons a 1'
  end.
Eval simpl in (LList_decompose (forever 33)).
 = LCons 33 (forever 33) : LList nat
Lemma LList_decomposition : forall (A:Type) (1:LList A), 1 =
LList_decompose 1.
Proof.
 intros A 1; destruct 1; trivial.
Qed.
```

Proofs using decomposition

Inductive predicates on coinductive types

```
Inductive Finite (A:Type) : LList A -> Prop :=
  Finite_LNil : Finite LNil
 | Finite_LCons : forall (a:A) (1:LList A), Finite 1 -> Finite
(LCons a 1).
```

Inductive predicates on coinductive types

```
Inductive Finite (A:Type) : LList A -> Prop :=
  Finite_LNil : Finite LNil
 | Finite_LCons : forall (a:A) (1:LList A), Finite 1 -> Finite
(LCons a 1).
Remark one_two_three : Finite (LCons 1 (LCons 2 (LCons 3 LNil)))
Proof.
repeat constructor.
Qed.
```

Inductive predicates on coinductive types

```
Inductive Finite (A:Type) : LList A -> Prop :=
 | Finite_LNil : Finite LNil
 | Finite_LCons : forall (a:A) (1:LList A), Finite 1 -> Finite
(LCons a 1).
Remark one_two_three : Finite (LCons 1 (LCons 2 (LCons 3 LNil)))
Proof.
repeat constructor.
Qed.
Theorem Finite_of_LCons :
 forall (A:Type) (a:A) (1:LList A),
     Finite (LCons a 1) -> Finite 1.
Proof.
 intros A a 1 H; inversion H; assumption.
```

Qed.

Coinductive predicates

```
CoInductive Infinite (A:Type) : LList A -> Prop :=
 Infinite LCons :
 forall (a:A) (1:LList A), Infinite 1 -> Infinite (LCons a 1).
```

Coinductive predicates

```
CoInductive Infinite (A:Type) : LList A -> Prop :=
 Infinite LCons :
 forall (a:A) (1:LList A), Infinite 1 -> Infinite (LCons a 1).
We want to prove that forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).
We need an auxiliary decomposition lemma for from:
Lemma from_unfold : forall n:nat, from n = LCons n (from (S
n)).
Proof.
 intro n.
 LList_unfold (from n).
 simpl; trivial.
Qed.
```

Proof of forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

```
The proof will be a corecursive function — the greatest fixpoint of
F from:
Definition F from :
 (forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)) -> forall n:nat, Infinite
(from n).
 intros H n; rewrite (from_unfold n).
 constructor; auto.
Defined.
```

Proof of forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

```
The proof will be a corecursive function — the greatest fixpoint of
F from:
Definition F from :
 (forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)) -> forall n:nat, Infinite
(from n).
 intros H n; rewrite (from_unfold n).
 constructor; auto.
Defined.
Theorem from_Infinite_VO : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).
Proof (cofix H : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n) := F_from H).
```

Proof of forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

```
The proof will be a corecursive function — the greatest fixpoint of
F from:
Definition F from :
 (forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)) -> forall n:nat, Infinite
(from n).
 intros H n; rewrite (from_unfold n).
 constructor; auto.
Defined.
Theorem from_Infinite_VO : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).
Proof (cofix H : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n) := F_from H).
Lemma from_Infinite : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).
Proof.
 cofix H.
 intro n; rewrite (from_unfold n).
 constructor; apply H.
Qed.
```

ungarded recursive call in H

Wrong proof of forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

```
Lemma from_Infinite_buggy : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).

Proof.

cofix H.

assumption.

Qed.

Error: Recursive definition of "H" is ill-formed.

In environment

H: \forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)
```

Note: you may use command Guarded, to check that "guard condition" is still satisfied

Wrong proof of forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

```
Lemma from_Infinite_buggy : forall n:nat, Infinite (from n).

Proof.

cofix H.

assumption.

Qed.

Error: Recursive definition of "H" is ill-formed.

In environment

H: \forall n:nat, Infinite (from n)

ungarded recursive call in H
```

Note: you may use command Guarded, to check that "guard condition" is

still satisfied

Elimination of coinductive assumptions

Tactics case and inversion work for coinductive types:

```
Lemma LNil_not_Infinite : forall A:Type, ~ Infinite (@LNil A).
Proof.
intros A H; inversion H.
Qed.
```

Equality of coinductive objects

Equality eq is adequate if finite number of simplification results in identical terms. There are examples when it does not hold:

```
Lemma Lappend_of_Infinite_0 :
  forall (A:Type) (u:LList A), Infinite u -> forall v:LList A,
  u = LAppend u v.
```

Equality eq is too strong, one needs a weaker predicate.

Equality of coinductive objects

Equality eq is adequate if finite number of simplification results in identical terms. There are examples when it does not hold:

```
Lemma Lappend_of_Infinite_0 :
  forall (A:Type) (u:LList A), Infinite u -> forall v:LList A,
  u = LAppend u v.
```

Equality eq is too strong, one needs a weaker predicate.

Bisimilarity

```
CoInductive bisimilar (A:Type) : LList A -> LList A -> Prop
:=
    | bisim0 : bisimilar LNil LNil
    | bisim1 :
        forall (a:A) (1 1':LList A),
        bisimilar 1 1' -> bisimilar (LCons a 1) (LCons a 1').
```

Bisimulation

```
Definition bisimulation (A:Type) (R:LList A -> LList A -> Prop)
:=
 forall 11 12:LList A,
  R 11 12 ->
  match 11 with
  | LNil => 12 = LNil
  | LCons a l'1 =>
      match 12 with
      | LNil => False
      | LCons b 1'2 => a = b \wedge R 1'1 1'2
      end
end.
```

Park principle

Bisimilarity is the greatest relation containing the pair LNil, LNil and closed under application of LCons.

Bisimulation is any relation satisfying these closure properties. Hence:

```
Theorem park_principle :
  forall (A:Type) (R:LList A -> LList A -> Prop),
  bisimulation R -> forall 11 12:LList A, R 11 12 ->
    bisimilar 11 12.
```

Park principle

Bisimilarity is the greatest relation containing the pair LNil, LNil and closed under application of LCons.

Bisimulation is any relation satisfying these closure properties. Hence:

```
Theorem park_principle :
  forall (A:Type) (R:LList A -> LList A -> Prop),
  bisimulation R -> forall 11 12:LList A, R 11 12 ->
    bisimilar 11 12.
```

Coinductive operational semantics for while-programs (example from CPDT)

Nonterminating (and terminating) programs will be modeled using coinductive types.

```
Definition var := nat.

Definition vars := var \rightarrow nat.

Definition set (vs : vars) (v : var) (n : nat) : vars := fun <math>v' \Rightarrow if beq_nat v v' then n else vs v'.
```

Expressions

```
Inductive exp : Set :=
 Const: \mathbf{nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{exp}
 Var: var \rightarrow exp
 Plus: \exp \rightarrow \exp \rightarrow \exp
```

Expressions

```
Inductive exp : Set :=
Const: nat \rightarrow exp
Var: var \rightarrow exp
Plus: \exp \rightarrow \exp \rightarrow \exp
Fixpoint evalExp (vs: vars) (e: exp): nat :=
  match e with
       Const n \Rightarrow n
       Var v \Rightarrow vs v
       Plus e1 \ e2 \Rightarrow \text{evalExp } vs \ e1 + \text{evalExp } vs \ e2
  end.
```

Instructions

```
\label{eq:second_second} \begin{split} & \text{Inductive } \mathbf{cmd} : \text{Set} := \\ & | \; \text{Assign} : \text{var} \to \mathbf{exp} \to \mathbf{cmd} \\ & | \; \text{Seq} : \mathbf{cmd} \to \mathbf{cmd} \to \mathbf{cmd} \\ & | \; \text{While} : \mathbf{exp} \to \mathbf{cmd} \to \mathbf{cmd}. \end{split}
```

Operational semantics

A program that does not terminate in a particular initial state is related to any final state.

```
\rightarrow evalCmd vs2 (While e c) vs3
\rightarrow evalCmd vs1 (While e c) vs3.
```

Operational semantics

A program that does not terminate in a particular initial state is related to any final state.

```
CoInductive evalCmd : vars \rightarrow cmd \rightarrow vars \rightarrow Prop :=
| EvalAssign : \forall vs \ v \ e, evalCmd vs (Assign v \ e) (set vs \ v (evalExp vs
e))
| EvalSeg : \forall vs1 vs2 vs3 c1 c2 evalCmd vs1 c1 vs2
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs2 c2 vs3
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs1 (Seq c1 c2) vs3
| EvalWhileFalse : \forall vs e c, evalExp vs e = 0
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs (While e c) vs
| EvalWhileTrue : \forall vs1 vs2 vs3 e c, evalExp vs1 e \neq 0
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs1 c vs2
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs2 (While e c) vs3
  \rightarrow evalCmd vs1 (While e c) vs3.
```

Bisimulation for evalCmd

```
Section evalCmd_coind. 

Variable R: vars \rightarrow \mathbf{cmd} \rightarrow vars \rightarrow \mathsf{Prop}. 

Hypothesis AssignCase: \forall vs1 \ vs2 \ v \ e, R \ vs1 \ (Assign \ v \ e) \ vs2 \rightarrow vs2 = \mathsf{set} \ vs1 \ v \ (\mathsf{evalExp} \ vs1 \ e). 

Hypothesis SeqCase: \forall \ vs1 \ vs3 \ c1 \ c2, R \ vs1 \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ vs3 \rightarrow \exists \ vs2 \ , R \ vs1 \ c1 \ vs2 \land R \ vs2 \ c2 \ vs3. 

Hypothesis WhileCase: \forall \ vs1 \ vs3 \ e \ c, R \ vs1 \ (While \ e \ c) \ vs3 \rightarrow (\mathsf{evalExp} \ vs1 \ e = 0 \land vs3 = vs1) \\ \lor \exists \ vs2 \ , \ \mathsf{evalExp} \ vs1 \ e \neq 0 \land R \ vs1 \ c \ vs2 \land R \ vs2 \ (While \ e \ c) \ vs3.
```

Bisimulation for evalCmd cont.

```
Theorem evalCmd_coind : ∀ vs1 c vs2, R vs1 c vs2 → evalCmd vs1 c vs2.

c vs2.

cofix; intros; destruct c.

rewrite (AssignCase H); constructor.

destruct (SeqCase H) as [? [? ?]]; econstructor; eauto.

destruct (WhileCase H) as [[? ?] | [? [? ?]]]]; subst;
econstructor; eauto.

Qed.

End evalCmd_coind
```

Optimization

```
Fixpoint optExp (e : exp) : exp :=
  match e with
       Plus (Const 0) e \Rightarrow \text{optExp } e
       Plus e1 \ e2 \Rightarrow Plus (optExp \ e1) (optExp \ e2)
       _{-}\Rightarrow e
  end.
```

Optimization

```
Fixpoint optExp (e : exp) : exp :=
  match e with
       Plus (Const 0) e \Rightarrow \text{optExp } e
      Plus e1 \ e2 \Rightarrow Plus (optExp \ e1) (optExp \ e2)
      _{-}\Rightarrow e
  end.
Fixpoint optCmd (c : cmd) : cmd :=
  match c with
       Assign v \ e \Rightarrow Assign \ v \ (optExp \ e)
       Seg c1 c2 \Rightarrow Seg (optCmd c1) (optCmd c2)
      While e \ c \Rightarrow While (optExp \ e) (optCmd \ c)
  end
```

Optimization correctness for expressions

Lemma optExp_correct : $\forall vs \ e$, evalExp vs (optExp e) = evalExp $vs \ e$.

Optimization correctness for instructions

```
Lemma optCmd_correct1 : \forall vs1 \ c \ vs2, evalCmd vs1 \ c \ vs2 \rightarrow evalCmd vs1 (optCmd c) vs2.
```

```
Lemma optCmd_correct2 : ∀ vs1 c vs2, evalCmd vs1 (optCmd c) vs2

→ evalCmd vs1 c vs2.

intros; apply (evalCmd_coind (fun vs1 c vs2 ⇒ evalCmd vs1

(optCmd c) vs2));

crush; finisher.

Qed.
```

Optimization correctness for instructions

```
Lemma optCmd_correct1 : \forall vs1 \ c \ vs2, evalCmd vs1 \ c \ vs2 \rightarrow evalCmd vs1 (optCmd c) vs2.
```

```
Lemma optCmd_correct2 : ∀ vs1 c vs2, evalCmd vs1 (optCmd c) vs2

→ evalCmd vs1 c vs2.

intros; apply (evalCmd_coind (fun vs1 c vs2 ⇒ evalCmd vs1
(optCmd c) vs2));

crush; finisher.

Qed.
```

Optimization correctness for instructions, cont.

```
Theorem optCmd_correct : \forall \ vs1 \ c \ vs2, evalCmd vs1 (optCmd c) vs2 \leftrightarrow \text{evalCmd} \ vs1 \ c \ vs2. split; apply optCmd_correct1 || apply optCmd_correct2; assumption. Qed.
```