Towards the solution of some fundamental questions concerning group actions on the circle and codimension-one foliations

Klaudiusz Czudek

Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences

May 29, 2020

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Roughly speaking,

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Roughly speaking, m-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n,

Roughly speaking, *m*-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n, m < n,

Roughly speaking, *m*-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n, m < n, is a decomposition of M into disjoint immersed submanifolds (leaves) of dimension m.

Roughly speaking, *m*-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n, m < n, is a decomposition of M into disjoint immersed submanifolds (leaves) of dimension m.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Examples

Roughly speaking, *m*-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n, m < n, is a decomposition of M into disjoint immersed submanifolds (leaves) of dimension m.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Examples 1. $M = \mathbb{R}^3$.

Roughly speaking, *m*-dimensional foliation of a manifold M of dimension n, m < n, is a decomposition of M into disjoint immersed submanifolds (leaves) of dimension m.

Examples

1. $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Family of planes of the form z = const form a 2-dimensional foliation.



<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

2.

2. Family of lines $y = \alpha x + const$, where α is a fixed real number, form a foliation of \mathbb{R}^2 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

2. Family of lines $y = \alpha x + const$, where α is a fixed real number, form a foliation of \mathbb{R}^2 . It may by projected to torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$.

2. Family of lines $y = \alpha x + const$, where α is a fixed real number, form a foliation of \mathbb{R}^2 . It may by projected to torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. If α is irrational then every leaf is dense.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

2. Family of lines $y = \alpha x + const$, where α is a fixed real number, form a foliation of \mathbb{R}^2 . It may by projected to torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. If α is irrational then every leaf is dense.



・ロト ・ 日下・ ・ 田下・ ・ 日下・ く日下

3. Phase portraits

3. Phase portraits $\dot{y} = -\sin x, \dot{x} = y$

3. Phase portraits $\dot{y} = -\sin x, \dot{x} = y$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≧▶ ▲≣▶ = 目 - のへで



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへで



 \mathcal{F} is of class C^k



 \mathcal{F} is of class C^k if maps may be chosen such that $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is C^k .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Fix a circle diffeomorphism f.

Fix a circle diffeomorphism f.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Fix a circle diffeomorphism f.





Fix a circle diffeomorphism f.







・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シュウ

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M such that every leaf is dense.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M such that every leaf is dense. If A a measurable union of leaves, then must be Leb(A) = 0 or $Leb(M \setminus A) = 0$?

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M such that every leaf is dense. If A a measurable union of leaves, then must be Leb(A) = 0 or $Leb(M \setminus A) = 0$?

Problem

If G is a finitely generated group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms acting minimally,

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M such that every leaf is dense. If A a measurable union of leaves, then must be Leb(A) = 0 or $Leb(M \setminus A) = 0$?

Problem

If G is a finitely generated group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms acting minimally, then is it ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure?

Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a transversally C^2 codimension 1 foliation of M such that every leaf is dense. If A a measurable union of leaves, then must be Leb(A) = 0 or $Leb(M \setminus A) = 0$?

Problem

If G is a finitely generated group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms acting minimally, then is it ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure? In other words, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{S}^1$ is a G-invariant set, then it must be $Leb(A) \in \{0, 1\}$?

Theorem

If g is a circle C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then it is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

If g is a circle C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then it is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

It does not follow from Denjoy theorem. The reason is Denjoy theorem implies existence of conjugacy, but does not tell anything about its absolute continuity.

Let R be a irrational rotation of the circle. Let $q_1 < q_2 < ...$ be the moments of the closest return.

Let *R* be a irrational rotation of the circle. Let $q_1 < q_2 < ...$ be the moments of the closest return.



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Let *R* be a irrational rotation of the circle. Let $q_1 < q_2 < ...$ be the moments of the closest return.



The interval I_n has the property that the sets $I_n, R(I_n), \ldots, R^{q_{n+1}-1}(I_n)$

cover the circle,

every point of the circle belongs to at most two of these sets.

Minimal diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number Distortion of diffeomorphism g on the interval $I \subseteq S^1$:

$$\chi(g, I) := \sup_{x,y \in I} \log \frac{g'(x)}{g'(y)}.$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
Minimal diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number Distortion of diffeomorphism g on the interval $I \subseteq S^1$:

$$\chi(g, I) := \sup_{x,y \in I} \log \frac{g'(x)}{g'(y)}.$$



Minimal diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number Distortion of diffeomorphism g on the interval $I \subseteq S^1$:

$$\chi(g, I) := \sup_{x,y \in I} \log \frac{g'(x)}{g'(y)}.$$



It follows that if $I_1, I_2 \subseteq I$ are two intervals, then:

$$\frac{|g(I_1)|}{|g(I)|} \leq \frac{|I_1|}{|I|}e^{\chi(g,I)}.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Proof:

Let g be C^{1+bv} minimal circle diffeomorphisms, and A be a g-invariant subset of the circle.

Let g be C^{1+bv} minimal circle diffeomorphisms, and A be a g-invariant subset of the circle.

Let $x \in A$ be a point of density of A, i.e. such that

$$\lim_{diam(I)\to 0}\frac{Leb(A\cap I)}{Leb(I)}=1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let g be C^{1+bv} minimal circle diffeomorphisms, and A be a g-invariant subset of the circle.

Let $x \in A$ be a point of density of A, i.e. such that

$$\lim_{diam(I)\to 0}\frac{Leb(A\cap I)}{Leb(I)}=1$$

Let φ be a conjugacy of g to a rotation R, with $\varphi(x) = 0$.

Let g be C^{1+bv} minimal circle diffeomorphisms, and A be a g-invariant subset of the circle.

Let $x \in A$ be a point of density of A, i.e. such that

$$\lim_{diam(I)\to 0}\frac{Leb(A\cap I)}{Leb(I)}=1$$

Let φ be a conjugacy of g to a rotation R, with $\varphi(x) = 0$. Fix n. Let I_n be the set defined on one of the previous slides. Let $J_n := \varphi^{-1}(I_n)$.

Let g be $C^{1+b\nu}$ minimal circle diffeomorphisms, and A be a g-invariant subset of the circle.

Let $x \in A$ be a point of density of A, i.e. such that

$$\lim_{diam(I)\to 0}\frac{Leb(A\cap I)}{Leb(I)}=1$$

Let φ be a conjugacy of g to a rotation R, with $\varphi(x) = 0$. Fix n. Let I_n be the set defined on one of the previous slides. Let $J_n := \varphi^{-1}(I_n)$.



The interval J_n has the property that the sets $J_n, g(J_n), \ldots, g^{q_{n+1}-1}(J_n)$

- cover the circle,
- every point of the circle belongs to at most two of these sets.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

For $k \in \{0, \ldots, q_{n+1} - 1\}$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国 ● ● ●

For
$$k \in \{0, \dots, q_{n+1} - 1\}$$
$$\frac{Leb(g^k(J) \setminus A)}{Leb(g^k(J))} =$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国 ● ● ●



For
$$k \in \{0, ..., q_{n+1} - 1\}$$

$$\frac{Leb(g^k(J) \setminus A)}{Leb(g^k(J))} = \frac{Leb(g^k(J \setminus A))}{Leb(g^k(J))} \le \exp(\chi(g^k, J)) \frac{Leb(J \setminus A)}{Leb(J)}$$

We have

 $\chi(g^k, J) =$



We have

$$\chi(g^k, J) = \left| \log \frac{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)} \right|$$

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J) = \left|\log\frac{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left|\log(g'(g^i(x))) - \log(g'(g^i(y)))\right| \leq$$

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J) = \left|\lograc{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}
ight| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left|\log(g'(g^i(x))) - \log(g'(g^i(y)))
ight| \leq$$

 $2var(\log(g'), \mathbb{S}^1) := V$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J)=\left|\lograc{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}
ight|\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}|\log(g'(g^i(x)))-\log(g'(g^i(y)))|\leq$$

 $2var(\log(g'), \mathbb{S}^1) := V$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Finally

 $Leb(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus A) \leq$

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J) = \left|\lograc{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}
ight| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left|\log(g'(g^i(x))) - \log(g'(g^i(y)))
ight| \leq$$

 $2var(\log(g'), \mathbb{S}^1) := V$

Finally

$$Leb(\mathbb{S}^1ackslash A)\leq \sum_{k=0}^{q_{n+1}-1}Leb(g^k(J)ackslash A)\leq$$

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J) = \left|\lograc{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}
ight| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left|\log(g'(g^i(x))) - \log(g'(g^i(y)))
ight| \leq$$

 $2var(\log(g'), \mathbb{S}^1) := V$

Finally

$$Leb(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{q_{n+1}-1} Leb(g^k(J) \setminus A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{q_{n+1}-1} e^V Leb(g^k(J)) rac{Leb(J \setminus A)}{Leb(J)}$$

We have

$$\chi(g^k,J) = \left|\lograc{(g^k)'(x)}{(g^k)'(y)}
ight| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left|\log(g'(g^i(x))) - \log(g'(g^i(y)))
ight| \leq$$

 $2var(\log(g'), \mathbb{S}^1) := V$

Finally

$$Leb(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{q_{n+1}-1} Leb(g^k(J) \setminus A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{q_{n+1}-1} e^V Leb(g^k(J)) \frac{Leb(J \setminus A)}{Leb(J)}$$

 $\leq 2e^V \frac{Leb(J \setminus A)}{Leb(J)}.$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ■ のへで

Theorem (Sullivan) If G is a group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem (Sullivan)

If G is a group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms and for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$ there exists $g \in G$ with g'(x) > 1,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Sullivan)

If G is a group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms and for every $x \in S^1$ there exists $g \in G$ with g'(x) > 1, then the action by G is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Two distortion estimates:

Two distortion estimates:

1. If
$$x_0 \in I$$
, then $\frac{|f(I)|}{|I|} \le e^{\chi(f,I)} f'(x_0)$.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Two distortion estimates:

1. If
$$x_0 \in I$$
, then $\frac{|f(I)|}{|I|} \le e^{\chi(f,I)} f'(x_0)$.

2. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq G$ be a finite set and

$$C_{\mathcal{G}} := \max_{g \in G} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} (\log g')'(x).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Two distortion estimates:

1. If
$$x_0 \in I$$
, then $\frac{|f(I)|}{|I|} \le e^{\chi(f,I)} f'(x_0)$.

2. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq G$ be a finite set and

$$C_{\mathcal{G}} := \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} (\log g')'(x).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

If $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{G}$. Put $S := \sum_{i=1}^n F'_i(x_0)$.

Two distortion estimates:

1. If
$$x_0 \in I$$
, then $\frac{|f(I)|}{|I|} \le e^{\chi(f,I)} f'(x_0)$.

2. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq G$ be a finite set and

$$C_{\mathcal{G}} := \max_{g \in G} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} (\log g')'(x).$$

If $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{G}$. Put $S := \sum_{i=1}^n F'_i(x_0)$. Then for $\delta \leq \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}S)$ one has

$$\chi\left(F_n,(x_0-\frac{\delta}{2},x_0+\frac{\delta}{2})\right)\leq \log(2).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let A be a G-invariant set and x_0 its Lebesgue density point.

Let A be a G-invariant set and x_0 its Lebesgue density point.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Fix M > 1.

Let A be a G-invariant set and x_0 its Lebesgue density point.

Fix M > 1. Let g_1, \ldots, g_n be such that $g'_i(g_{i-1} \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)) > \lambda$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

Let A be a G-invariant set and x_0 its Lebesgue density point.

Fix M > 1. Let g_1, \ldots, g_n be such that $g'_i(g_{i-1} \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)) > \lambda$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $(g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1)'(x_0) > M$.

Let A be a G-invariant set and x_0 its Lebesgue density point.

Fix M > 1. Let g_1, \ldots, g_n be such that $g'_i(g_{i-1} \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)) > \lambda$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $(g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1)'(x_0) > M$.

Let $y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ
Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put
$$f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$$
 and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.
Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.
Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D$.

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$.

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$. Then (U_n) is

• a sequence of neighborhoods of x_0 ,

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$. Then (U_n) is

- a sequence of neighborhoods of x_0 ,
- $|U_n| \le \exp \chi(F_n, V_n) F'_n(y) |V_n|$

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$. Then (U_n) is

- ► a sequence of neighborhoods of *x*₀,
- $|U_n| \le \exp \chi(F_n, V_n) F'_n(y) |V_n| < 2 \log(2) \varepsilon \frac{1}{M}$

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$. Then (U_n) is

- ▶ a sequence of neighborhoods of *x*₀,
- ► $|U_n| \le \exp \chi(F_n, V_n) F'_n(y) |V_n| < 2 \log(2) \varepsilon \frac{1}{M} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,

Let
$$y_n := g_n \circ \ldots \circ g_1(x_0)$$
.

Put $f_1 := g_n^{-1}, \ldots, f_n := g_1^{-1}$ and $F_i := f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$.

Then $S = F'_1(y_n) + \ldots + F'_n(y_n) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^j} := D.$ (independent of n!).

Using the second estimate $\chi(F_n, V_n) \leq \log(2)$ for $V_n = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon), \ \varepsilon := \log(2)/(2C_{\mathcal{G}}D)$ (for all *n*, although the length of V_n is independent of *n*).

Put $U_n := F_n(V_n)$. Then (U_n) is

▶ a sequence of neighborhoods of *x*₀,

► $|U_n| \le \exp \chi(F_n, V_n) F'_n(y) |V_n| < 2 \log(2) \varepsilon \frac{1}{M} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,



Compactness yield existence of an interval V of length 2ε with $Leb(V \setminus A) = 0$. Using minimality of the group action Leb(A) = 1.

Let \mathcal{G} be a finite set generating G as a semigroup.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let \mathcal{G} be a finite set generating G as a semigroup.

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let ${\mathcal G}$ be a finite set generating ${\mathcal G}$ as a semigroup.

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}.$$

Theorem (Hurder)

If G is a group of $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms of the circle, then λ_e is constant Lebesgue almost everywhere.

Let ${\mathcal G}$ be a finite set generating ${\mathcal G}$ as a semigroup.

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}.$$

Theorem (Hurder)

If G is a group of $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms of the circle, then λ_e is constant Lebesgue almost everywhere. If this constant is positive, then the action is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Let \mathcal{G} be a finite set generating G as a semigroup.

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}.$$

Theorem (Hurder)

If G is a group of $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms of the circle, then λ_e is constant Lebesgue almost everywhere. If this constant is positive, then the action is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Problem: in all known examples of groups G where the constant is positive there are no points with $g'(x) \le 1$ for all $g \in G$.

We define the set of non-expandable points:

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

The group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms of the circle satisfies property (*)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

The group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms of the circle satisfies property (*) if it is finitely generated, acts minimally,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

The group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms of the circle satisfies property (*) if it is finitely generated, acts minimally, and for every $x \in NE(G)$ there exist g_+, g_- such that x is a fixed point of g_+ isolated from the right, and x is a fixed point of g_- isolated from the left.

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

The group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms of the circle satisfies property (*) if it is finitely generated, acts minimally, and for every $x \in NE(G)$ there exist g_+, g_- such that x is a fixed point of g_+ isolated from the right, and x is a fixed point of g_- isolated from the left.

The next theorem was proved in "On the question of ergodicity for minimal group actions on the circle" by B. Deroin, V. Kleptsyn, A. Navas.

We define the set of non-expandable points:

$$\operatorname{NE}(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \forall_{g \in G} g'(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

The group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms of the circle satisfies property (*) if it is finitely generated, acts minimally, and for every $x \in NE(G)$ there exist g_+, g_- such that x is a fixed point of g_+ isolated from the right, and x is a fixed point of g_- isolated from the left.

The next theorem was proved in "On the question of ergodicity for minimal group actions on the circle" by B. Deroin, V. Kleptsyn, A. Navas.

Theorem

If the group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms satisfies (*), then the action is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

If $I = g_+^n([y, g_+^{-1}(y)])$ for sufficiently large n,



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

If
$$I = g_+^n([y, g_+^{-1}(y)])$$
 for sufficiently large n , then

$$|g_+^n(I)| > 2e^V|I|,$$
where $V := \operatorname{var}(\log g'_+).$



If $I = g_{+}^{n}([y, g_{+}^{-1}(y)])$ for sufficiently large *n*, then $|g_{+}^{n}(I)| > 2e^{V}|I|,$ where $V := var(\log g'_{+})$. By distortion estimate $(g^{n})'(x) > 2$ for every $x \in I.$



If $I = g_{+}^{n}([y, g_{+}^{-1}(y)])$ for sufficiently large n, then $|g_{+}^{n}(I)| > 2e^{V}|I|,$ where $V := var(\log g'_{+})$. By distortion estimate $(g^{n})'(x) > 2$ for every $x \in I.$

For all y sufficiently close to x from the right there exist n such that $(g_+^n)'(x) > 2$. The same may be proved from the left side.

What is known about the set NE(G)?

▶ it may be nonempty (smooth representation of Thompson's group, PSL(2, Z)) (DKN "On the question of ergodicity...")

What is known about the set NE(G)?

- ▶ it may be nonempty (smooth representation of Thompson's group, PSL(2, Z)) (DKN "On the question of ergodicity...")
- it is not invariant under change of coordinates (but property (*) is!)

What is known about the set NE(G)?

- ▶ it may be nonempty (smooth representation of Thompson's group, PSL(2, ℤ)) (DKN "On the question of ergodicity...")
- it is not invariant under change of coordinates (but property (*) is!)

 in the case of free, minimal, analytic groups property (*) always holds (DKN "On the ergodic theory of free group actions by real-analytic circle diffeomorphisms") Conjecture (Y. Guivarc'h, V. Kaimanovich, F. Ledrappier)

For any finitely supported measure m on a lattice $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ whose support generates Γ , the corresponding stationary measure on the circle is singular w. r. t. Lebesgue.

Conjecture (Y. Guivarc'h, V. Kaimanovich, F. Ledrappier)

For any finitely supported measure m on a lattice $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ whose support generates Γ , the corresponding stationary measure on the circle is singular w. r. t. Lebesgue.

Proven for noncompact lattices by Guivarc'h and Le Jan. ("Asymptotic winding of the geodesic flow on modular surfaces and continued fractions").

Conjecture (Y. Guivarc'h, V. Kaimanovich, F. Ledrappier)

For any finitely supported measure m on a lattice $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ whose support generates Γ , the corresponding stationary measure on the circle is singular w. r. t. Lebesgue.

Proven for noncompact lattices by Guivarc'h and Le Jan. ("Asymptotic winding of the geodesic flow on modular surfaces and continued fractions").

Problem

Given group G of C^2 diffeomorphisms, does there exists a distribution on G such that its support generates G, and the corresponding stationary measure on the circle is absolutely continuous to the Lebesgue measure?

Let \mathbb{P} be a distribution on a group G acting minimally such that support of the measure generates G.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let \mathbb{P} be a distribution on a group G acting minimally such that support of the measure generates G. Then the corresponding stationary measure on the circle μ is unique.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ
< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Baxendale)

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms like above,

Theorem (Baxendale)

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms like above, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations,

Theorem (Baxendale)

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms like above, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, then

$$\lambda := \int_{G imes \mathbb{S}^1} \log g'(x) \mu(dx) \mathbb{P}(dg) < 0$$

Theorem (Baxendale)

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms like above, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, then

$$\lambda := \int_{\mathcal{G} imes \mathbb{S}^1} \log g'(x) \mu(dx) \mathbb{P}(dg) < 0$$

From the Birkhoff ergodic theorem for μ almost every point $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_n} \circ \ldots \circ g_{\omega_1})'(x)}{n} \to \lambda \qquad \text{a.s}$$

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_n}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_1})'(x)}{n}<\lambda/2<0.$$

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_n}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_1})'(x)}{n}<\lambda/2<0.$$

Hence for $y := g_{\omega_n} \circ \ldots \circ g_{\omega_1}(x)$ we have

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_n}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_1})'(x)}{n}<\lambda/2<0.$$

Hence for $y := g_{\omega_n} \circ \ldots \circ g_{\omega_1}(x)$ we have

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_1}^{-1}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_n}^{-1})'(y)}{n}>-\lambda/2>0.$$

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_n}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_1})'(x)}{n}<\lambda/2<0.$$

Hence for $y := g_{\omega_n} \circ \ldots \circ g_{\omega_1}(x)$ we have

$$\frac{\log(g_{\omega_1}^{-1}\circ\ldots\circ g_{\omega_n}^{-1})'(y)}{n}>-\lambda/2>0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

y is expanding!

Theorem If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms acting minimally,

Theorem

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations,

Theorem

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, \mathbb{P} is a probability distribution on G, whose support generates a group, μ is the corresponding unique stationary measure, then the Lyapunov expansion exponent

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}$$

is positive μ a.e.

Theorem

If G is a group of C^2 diffeomorphisms acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, \mathbb{P} is a probability distribution on G, whose support generates a group, μ is the corresponding unique stationary measure, then the Lyapunov expansion exponent

$$\lambda_e(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\log(g_n \circ \dots \circ g_1)'(x)}{n}$$

is positive μ a.e.

Corollary

If the Lyapunov expansion exponent is zero Lebesgue a.e., then for every probability distribution \mathbb{P} on the group such that its support generates G, the corresponding stationary measure is singular w.r.t the Lebesgue. Theorem (Eskif, Rebelo) If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Theorem (Eskif, Rebelo) If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated, locally C^2 -non-discrete group

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated, locally C^2 -non-discrete group acting minimally,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated, locally C^2 -non-discrete group acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations,

If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated, locally C^2 -non-discrete group acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, then there exists a probability distribution on G such that the corresponding stationary measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

If $G \subseteq Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a finitely generated, locally C^2 -non-discrete group acting minimally, not topologically conjugated to a group of rotations, then there exists a probability distribution on G such that the corresponding stationary measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Proof in "Global rigidity of conjugations for locally non-discrete subgroups of $Diff^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ ", Eskif, Rebelo.

Thank you!