## Property A and duality in linear programming

Andrzej Nagórko joint work with G. C. Bell (UNCG)

University of Warsaw

- 1. What is ... property A?
- 2. Property A as a linear problem and the dual problem
- 3. Examples: primal and dual solutions
- 4. Primal relaxation: Cheeger constant
- 5. Applications: hypercubes and graphs with large girth

## What is ... property A?

Property A was introduced in 2000 and turns out to be of great importance in many areas of mathematics [1]. Perhaps the most striking example is the following implication that follows from results in [4].

If group G has Property A then the Novikov conjecture is true for all closed manifolds with fundamental group G.

The Novikov conjecture asserts homotopy invariance of higher signatures of smooth manifolds. Is is one of most important unsolved problems in topology.

[1] P. Nowak, G. Yu, "What is ... property A?", Notices AMS (2008)

Path-length metric on a graph.



- Graphs are oriented ( $E \subset V \times V$ ).
- We allow infinite distance.
- If  $ij \in E$  is used to denote an edge, then *i* is the source and *j* is the target vertex of *ij*.

**Optimization Problem I.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let  $S \ge 0$ . Find minimal  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{S,G}$  (variation) and a family  $\{\xi_i : V \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in V}$  of functionals (probability measures) such that

1. Each  $\xi_i$  is a probability measure, i.e.

 $\|\xi_i\|_1 = 1$  and  $\xi_i \ge 0$  for each  $i \in V$ ;

2. Variation on edge ij does not exceed  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.

 $\|\xi_i - \xi_j\|_1 \le \varepsilon$  for each  $ij \in E$ ;

3. Each  $\xi_i$  is supported by B(i, S), i.e.

 $\operatorname{supp} \xi_i = \{j \in V \colon \xi_i(j) > 0\} \subset B(i, S) \text{ for each } i \in V,$ 

where B(i, S) is ball of radius S centered at *i*.

## Minimal example: square graph at scale 1

**Optimization Problem I.** Find minimal  $\varepsilon_{S,G} > 0$  with functionals  $\xi_i$  on G satisfying

1. 
$$\|\xi_i\|_1 = 1$$
 and  $\xi_i \ge 0$  for each  $i \in V$ ;

2.  $\|\xi_i - \xi_j\|_1 \le \varepsilon$  for each  $ij \in E$ ;

3. supp  $\xi_i = \{j \in V : \xi_i(j) > 0\} \subset B(i, S)$  for each  $i \in V$ .



This is optimal solution with objective  $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{3}$ .

Optimality of the solution is not trivial to show.

**Optimization Problem I.** Find minimal  $\varepsilon_{S,G} > 0$  with functionals  $\xi_i$  on G satisfying

1. 
$$\|\xi_i\|_1 = 1$$
 and  $\xi_i \ge 0$  for each  $i \in V$ ;

- 2.  $\|\xi_i \xi_j\|_1 \le \varepsilon$  for each  $ij \in E$ ;
- 3. supp  $\xi_i = \{j \in V : \xi_i(j) > 0\} \subset B(i, S)$  for each  $i \in V$ .

#### Property A

Let *G* be a graph and for each  $S \ge 0$  let  $\varepsilon_{S,G}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures on *G* at scale *S*, i.e. the solution of Optimization Problem I at scale *S*. We say that *G* has **property A** iff

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G}=0.$$

## **Property A**

#### Property A

Let *G* be a graph and for each  $S \ge 0$  let  $\varepsilon_{S,G}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures on *G* at scale *S*, i.e. the solution of Optimization Problem I at scale *S*. We say that *G* has **property A** iff

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G}=0.$$

## **Property A**

#### Property A

Let *G* be a graph and for each  $S \ge 0$  let  $\varepsilon_{S,G}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures on *G* at scale *S*, i.e. the solution of Optimization Problem I at scale *S*. We say that *G* has **property A** iff

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G}=0.$$

• To prove property A for G it is enough to find upper bounds  $\varepsilon_{S,G} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{S,G}$  such that

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\hat{\varepsilon}_{S,G}=0.$$

## **Property A**

#### Property A

Let *G* be a graph and for each  $S \ge 0$  let  $\varepsilon_{S,G}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures on *G* at scale *S*, i.e. the solution of Optimization Problem I at scale *S*. We say that *G* has **property A** iff

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G}=0.$$

• To prove property A for G it is enough to find upper bounds  $\varepsilon_{S,G} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_{S,G}$  such that

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\hat{\varepsilon}_{S,G}=0.$$

 $\cdot\,$  To prove that G does not have property A we have to show that

$$\limsup_{S\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G}>0.$$

so we have to consider optimal solutions  $\varepsilon_{G,S}$ .

## Reduction to finite graphs

• If  $S \ge \text{diam } G$ , then  $\varepsilon_{S,G} = 0$ , so property A is trivial for finite graphs.

• If  $S \ge \text{diam } G$ , then  $\varepsilon_{S,G} = 0$ , so property A is trivial for finite graphs.

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph and assume that  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ , with  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \cdots$  an ascending sequence of convex subgraphs of G. Let  $\varepsilon_{S,G_n}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures at scale S for graph  $G_n$ . Graph G has property A iff

 $\lim_{S\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G_n}=0.$ 

• If  $S \ge \text{diam } G$ , then  $\varepsilon_{S,G} = 0$ , so property A is trivial for finite graphs.

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph and assume that  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ , with  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \cdots$  an ascending sequence of convex subgraphs of G. Let  $\varepsilon_{S,G_n}$  be the minimal variation of probability measures at scale S for graph  $G_n$ . Graph G has property A iff

 $\lim_{S\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G_n}=0.$ 

Important case: disjoint sum of finite subgraphs.

Graph G has property A iff

 $\lim_{S\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G_n}=0.$ 

#### To check that

 $\lim_{S\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_{S,G_n}\neq 0$ 

we need a lower bound on  $\varepsilon_{S,G_n}$ .

Property A as a linear problem and the dual problem

## Linear programming formulation of minimal variance problem

**Optimization Problem I.** Find minimal  $\varepsilon_{S,G} > 0$  with functionals  $\xi_i$  on G satisfying

- 1.  $\|\xi_i\|_1 = 1$  and  $\xi_i \ge 0$  for each  $i \in V$ ;
- 2.  $\|\xi_i \xi_j\|_1 \le \varepsilon$  for each  $ij \in E$ ;
- 3. supp  $\xi_i = \{j \in V \colon \xi_i(j) > 0\} \subset B(i, S)$  for each  $i \in V$ .

Primal problem.

minimize e  $\sum x_{i,i} = 1$  for each  $i \in V$ , subject to i∈V  $x_{i,i} = 0$  for each  $i \in V, j \in V \setminus B(i, S)$ ,  $x_{i,k} - x_{i,k} \leq e_{ii,k}$  for each  $ij \in E, k \in V$ ,  $x_{i,k} - x_{i,k} \leq e_{ii,k}$  for each  $ij \in E, k \in V$ ,  $\sum e_{ij,k} \leq e$  for each  $ij \in E$ , k∈V  $X_{i,i}, e_{ii,k}, e \geq 0$ 

## Dual problem.

subject to

maximize  $\sum_{i \in V} \eta_i$ 

$$\begin{split} \sum_{ij \in E} \kappa_{ij} &\leq 1 \ , \\ \varphi_{k,ij} &\leq \kappa_{ij} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V, \\ -\varphi_{k,ij} &\leq \kappa_{ij} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V, \\ \sum_{mi \in E, m \in V} \varphi_{k,mi} - \sum_{im \in E, m \in V} \varphi_{k,im} \geq \eta_i \ \text{ for each } k \in V, i \in B(k, S), \\ \eta_i, \varphi_{k,ij} \in \mathbb{R}, \ \kappa_{ij} \geq 0 \end{split}$$

Primal vs dual

Primal

Dual

 $\sum \eta_i$ max min e i∈V s.t.  $\sum x_{i,j} = 1$ , s.t. i∈V  $\sum \kappa_{ij} \leq 1,$  $x_{i,i} = 0,$ ij∈E  $X_{i,k} - X_{i,k} \leq e_{ii,k}$  $\varphi_{k,ij} \leq \kappa_{ij},$  $X_{i,k} - X_{i,k} \leq e_{ii,k}$  $-\varphi_{k,ii} \leq \kappa_{ii},$  $\sum e_{ij,k} \leq e,$  $\sum \varphi_{k,mi} - \sum \varphi_{k,im} \geq \eta_i,$  $k \in V$  $mi \in E, m \in V$ im∈E.m∈V  $x_{i,i}, e_{ii,k}, e \geq 0$  $\eta_i, \varphi_{k,ii} \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa_{ii} \geq 0$ 

# Examples: primal and dual solutions



$$\begin{split} \|\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}\|_{1} &= |\xi_{1}(0)-\xi_{0}(0)|+|\xi_{1}(1)-\xi_{0}(1)|+|\xi_{1}(2)-\xi_{0}(2)|+|\xi_{1}(3)-\xi_{0}(3)| \leq \varepsilon \\ &\downarrow \\ &-\frac{1}{12}(\xi_{1}(0)-\xi_{0}(0))+\frac{1}{12}(\xi_{1}(1)-\xi_{0}(1))+\frac{1}{4}(\xi_{1}(2)-0)-\frac{1}{4}(0-\xi_{0}(3)) \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon \end{split}$$

#### Theorem

Dual problem at scale S is dual to Primal problem at scale S. In particular, for each admissible solution of each problem, we have

$$\sum_{i\in V}\eta_i\leq e$$

and the optimal solutions are equal.

## Square graph - solution by hand



## Cube graph, S = 2, primal solution



## Cube graph, S = 2, dual solution



# Primal relaxation: Cheeger constant

Note that the capacity  $\kappa$  and supply  $\eta$  is implicit in the solution of the dual problem as the optimal values for chosen pseudo-flows  $\varphi_i$  can be easily computed.

#### **Dual Problem**

maximize

 $\sum_{i \in V}$ 

subject to

$$\sum_{ij \in E} \kappa_{ij} \leq 1 ,$$

$$\varphi_{k,ij} \leq \kappa_{ij} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$

$$-\varphi_{k,ij} \leq \kappa_{ij} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$

$$\sum_{mi \in E, m \in V} \varphi_{k,im} \geq \eta_i \text{ for each } k \in V, i \in B(k, S),$$

$$\eta_i, \varphi_{k,im} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \kappa_{ii} \geq 0$$

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph. Let  $\Gamma$  be a group that acts on G by automorphisms.

If  $\Gamma$  acts transitively both on edges and on vertices of G, then there exists an optimal solution of the dual problem such that  $\eta_i = \eta_j$  for each  $i, j \in V$  and  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{|E|}$  for each  $ij \in E$ .

#### Theorem

Let G be a graph. Let  $\Gamma$  be a group that acts on G by automorphisms.

If  $\Gamma$  acts transitively both on edges and on vertices of G, then there exists an optimal solution of the dual problem such that  $\eta_i = \eta_j$  for each  $i, j \in V$  and  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{|E|}$  for each  $ij \in E$ .

This is not always the case.

## Forced equal capacities and supplies



## Uniform flows.

maximize  $|V| \cdot \eta$ 

subject to

$$\varepsilon_{ij,k} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$
$$-\varepsilon_{ij,k} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$
$$\sum_{j \in V, ji \in E} \varepsilon_{ji,k} - \sum_{j \in V, ij \in E} \varepsilon_{ij,k} \geq \eta \quad \text{for each } k \in V, i \in B(k, S),$$
$$\eta, \varepsilon_{ij,k} \in \mathbb{R}$$

## $3 \times 3$ grid, Uniform Flows problem at scale S = 1



Let  $S \subset V$ . The **edge boundary of** S is  $\partial S = E[S, V \setminus S]$ . For  $S \neq \emptyset$  we let

$$\varphi(\mathsf{S}) = \frac{|\partial\mathsf{S}|}{|\mathsf{S}|}$$

be the isoperimetric number of S.

Let  $S \ge 0$  be a scale on a graph G. We let

$$\gamma(G, \mathcal{S}) = \min_{T \subset B(i,S), i \in V, T \neq \emptyset} \varphi(T)$$

be the **Cheeger constant** of G at scale S.

#### Theorem

The optimal solution of Uniform Flow problem at scale S is equal to

 $\frac{|V|}{|E|}\gamma(G,S),$ 

the Cheeger constant of G at scale S multiplied by  $\frac{|V|}{|E|}$ .

## Minimal isoperimetric number

maximize  $\eta$ 

subject to

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{ij} &\leq 1 \text{ for each } ij \in E, \\ -\varepsilon_{ij} &\leq 1 \text{ for each } ij \in E, \\ \sum_{j \in V, ji \in E} \varepsilon_{ji} - \sum_{j \in V, ij \in E} \varepsilon_{ij} \geq \eta \text{ for each } i \in B(k, S), \\ \eta, \varepsilon_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

### Minimal isoperimetric number - the dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \sum_{ij\in E} |a_i - a_j| \\ \text{subject to} \\ & \sum_{i\in S} a_i = 1, \\ & a_i = 0 \text{ for each } i \in V \setminus B(k,S), \\ & a_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i \in B(k,S) \end{array}$$

#### Theorem

There exists an optimal solution of the above problem with all non-zero values equal.

Minimal isoperimetric number - the dual

minimize 
$$\sum_{ij\in E} |a_i - a_j|$$
  
subject to  
$$\sum_{i\in S} a_i = 1,$$
  
$$a_i = 0 \text{ for each } i \in V \setminus B(k, S),$$
  
$$a_i \ge 0 \text{ for each } i \in B(k, S)$$

For such solution, if we take  $T = \{i: a_i > 0\}$ , then the value of the objective function is  $\frac{|\partial T|}{|T|} = \eta(T)$ . But this is the isoperimetric number of T - and it is the minimal one.

Therefore the minimal isoperimetric number dual is equivalent to: **Minimal isoperimetric number - the dual reinterpreted** Maximize  $\eta$  such that for each  $T \subset B(k, S)$  we have

$$\eta \leq \frac{|\partial T|}{|T|}.$$

This is the Cheeger constant. Remember that we rescaled the original problem by  $\frac{|E|}{|V|}$ .

Uniform flows - Cheeger constant times  $\frac{|V|}{|E|}$ .

maximize  $|V| \cdot \eta$ 

subject to

$$\varepsilon_{ij,k} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$
$$-\varepsilon_{ij,k} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \text{ for each } ij \in E, k \in V,$$
$$\sum_{j \in V, ji \in E} \varepsilon_{ji,k} - \sum_{j \in V, ij \in E} \varepsilon_{ij,k} \geq \eta \quad \text{for each } k \in V, i \in B(k, S),$$
$$\eta, \varepsilon_{ij,k} \in \mathbb{R}$$

## Mean property A - the dual problem to Uniform Flows

For each S>0 find  $\varepsilon_{\rm S,G}$  and a family of functionals  $\{\psi_i\}$  on G satisfying

1.  $\{\psi_i\}$  has norm 1 on average, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{|V|} \| \sum_{i \in V} \psi_i \|_1 = 1,$$

and  $\psi_i \geq 0$  for each  $i \in V$ .

2.  $\{\psi_i\}$  has  $\varepsilon$ -variation *on average*, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{|E|}\sum_{ij\in E}\sum_{k\in V}|\psi_i(k)-\psi_j(k)|=\frac{1}{|E|}\sum_{ij\in E}||\psi_i-\psi_j||_1\leq \varepsilon.$$

3. supp  $\psi_i \subset B(i, S)$  for each  $i \in V$ .

If  $\lim_{S\to\infty} \varepsilon_{S,G} = 0$ , then G has mean property A.

Applications: hypercubes and graphs with large girth

#### Theorem

Let *Q<sub>n</sub>* be the n-dimensional hypercube graph. The minimal variation of probability measures for *Q<sub>n</sub>* at scale S is

$$\varepsilon_{S,Q_n} = \frac{2\binom{n-1}{S}}{\sum_{k=0}^{S} \binom{n}{k}}.$$

#### Theorem

Let  $Q_n$  be the n-dimensional hypercube graph. The minimal variation of probability measures for  $Q_n$  at scale S is

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{S},Q_n} = \frac{2\binom{n-1}{\mathrm{S}}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\mathrm{S}} \binom{n}{k}}.$$

For n = 3, S = 2 we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2 \cdot \binom{2}{2}}{\binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2}} = \frac{2}{7}.$$

(We found this number before.)

#### Corollary (P. Nowak, [2]) The disjoint union

 $\coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{0,1\}^n$ 

with  $\ell_1$  metric does not have property A.

#### Proof.

The proof follows from the observation that for each  $S \ge 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2\binom{n-1}{S}}{\sum_{k=0}^{S} \binom{n}{k}} = 2$$

## Some experimental results and a quiz



Top 12 solutions, S = 1, computation time 154.6743s (3 cores).

## Some experimental results and a quiz



Top 12 solutions, S = 2, computation time 207.7706s (3 cores).

## Large girth

#### Theorem

Let G(d, c) be a d-regular graph with girth c. Let 2S + 1 < c. The minimal variation of probability measures for G(d, c) at scale S is

$$\frac{2(d-1)^{S}(2-d)}{2-d(d-1)^{S}}.$$

## Corollary (R. Willett, [3])

Suppose  $d_i$  is a bounded sequence of integers with  $d_i \ge 3$  and suppose  $c_i$  is a sequence of integers going to infinity. Then, the disjoint union of the graphs  $G(d_i, c_i)$  fails to have property A.

#### Proof.

The proof follows from the observation that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{2(d-1)^{s}(2-d)}{2-d(d-1)^{s}} = 2 - \frac{4}{d}.$$

## Thank you for your attention!

## P. Nowak and G. Yu. What is . . . property A?

Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 55(4):474–475, 2008.

P. W. Nowak.

Coarsely embeddable metric spaces without Property A.

J. Funct. Anal., 252(1):126–136, 2007.



R. Willett.

Property A and graphs with large girth.

J. Topol. Anal., 3(3):377–384, 2011.

🔋 G. Yu.

The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space.

Invent. Math., 139(1):201–240, 2000.