

On forcing names for ultrafilters

Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja

University of Wrocław

seminar in Warsaw, 2021

The talk is based on two preprints:

- PBN, Damian Sobota, *On sequences of homomorphisms into measure algebras and the Efimov Problem* (arxiv)
- PBN, Katarzyna Cegińska, *On measures induced by forcing names for ultrafilters*

Names for ultrafilters

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Names for ultrafilters

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic over V . Then, in $V[G]$, we may consider \mathbb{A} and ultrafilters on \mathbb{A} (*old* and *new*).

Names for ultrafilters

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic over V . Then, in $V[G]$, we may consider \mathbb{A} and ultrafilters on \mathbb{A} (*old* and *new*).

Applications:

- reals are ultrafilters on the Cantor algebra,

Names for ultrafilters

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic over V . Then, in $V[G]$, we may consider \mathbb{A} and ultrafilters on \mathbb{A} (*old* and *new*).

Applications:

- reals are ultrafilters on the Cantor algebra,
- Stone spaces of *old* Boolean algebras may provide interesting examples of topological spaces.

A name induces a homomorphism

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

A name induces a homomorphism

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let \dot{u} be a \mathbb{P} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} .

A name induces a homomorphism

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let \dot{u} be a \mathbb{P} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} .
Consider $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ defined by

$$\varphi(A) = \|\dot{u} \in A\|.$$

Then φ is a Boolean homomorphism.

A homomorphism induces a name

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

A homomorphism induces a name

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be a Boolean homomorphism.

A homomorphism induces a name

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be a Boolean homomorphism.
Consider a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{u} defined by

$$\dot{\varphi} = \{\langle A, \varphi(A) \rangle : A \in \mathbb{A}\}.$$

Then $\dot{\varphi}$ is a name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} .

Names of ultrafilters and Boolean homomorphisms

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Names of ultrafilters and Boolean homomorphisms

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Proposition

For every \mathbb{P} -name \dot{u} for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} there is a Boolean homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$1 \Vdash \dot{u} = \dot{\varphi}.$$

Names of ultrafilters and Boolean homomorphisms

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} and a forcing \mathbb{P} .

Proposition

For every \mathbb{P} -name \dot{u} for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} there is a Boolean homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$1 \Vdash \dot{u} = \dot{\varphi}.$$

Remark. Ultrafilters = homomorphisms to $\{0, 1\}$.

Measure algebras.

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_\kappa = 0.$$

Measure algebras.

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_\kappa = 0.$$

Remarks:

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_\kappa = 0.$$

Remarks:

- \mathbb{M}_κ supports the standard Haar measure λ_κ ,

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_\kappa = 0.$$

Remarks:

- \mathbb{M}_κ supports the standard Haar measure λ_κ ,
- $\mathbb{M}_1 = \{0, 1\}$,

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_\kappa = 0.$$

Remarks:

- \mathbb{M}_κ supports the standard Haar measure λ_κ ,
- $\mathbb{M}_1 = \{0, 1\}$,
- $\mathbb{M}_\omega = \text{Bor}[0, 1] / \mathcal{N}$,

Definition

For a cardinal number κ define *the measure algebra of type κ* by

$$\mathbb{M}_\kappa = \text{Bor}(\{0, 1\}^\kappa) / \lambda_{\kappa=0}.$$

Remarks:

- \mathbb{M}_κ supports the standard Haar measure λ_κ ,
- $\mathbb{M}_1 = \{0, 1\}$,
- $\mathbb{M}_\omega = \text{Bor}[0, 1] / \mathcal{N}$,
- Forcing with $\mathbb{M}_\kappa =$ adding κ random reals (for $\kappa > \omega$).

Measures induced by homomorphisms.

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra and $\kappa \geq \omega$.

Measures induced by homomorphisms.

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra and $\kappa \geq \omega$.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ be a Boolean homomorphism. Then φ induces a measure μ on \mathbb{A} defined by

Measures induced by homomorphisms.

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra and $\kappa \geq \omega$.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ be a Boolean homomorphism. Then φ induces a measure μ on \mathbb{A} defined by

$$\mu(A) = \lambda_\kappa(\varphi(A)).$$

Measures induced by homomorphisms.

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra and $\kappa \geq \omega$.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ be a Boolean homomorphism. Then φ induces a measure μ on \mathbb{A} defined by

$$\mu(A) = \lambda_\kappa(\varphi(A)).$$

Remark. By a "measure" we mean here a *finitely additive* measure.

Examples

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} , and a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Examples

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} , and a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} . Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

Examples

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} , and a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} . Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

- Suppose that $\mu = \delta_u$ for some ultrafilter u on \mathbb{A} (in $V!$).
Then ...

Examples

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} , and a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} . Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

- Suppose that $\mu = \delta_u$ for some ultrafilter u on \mathbb{A} (in $V!$).
Then ...

$$1 \Vdash \dot{\varphi} = \check{u}.$$

Examples

Fix a Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} , and a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{A} . Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

- Suppose that $\mu = \delta_u$ for some ultrafilter u on \mathbb{A} (in $V!$).
Then ...

$$1 \Vdash \dot{\varphi} = \check{u}.$$

- If μ is purely atomic, then

$$1 \Vdash \dot{\varphi} \in V.$$

Examples

Let \mathbb{C} be Cantor algebra. Fix a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Examples

Let \mathbb{C} be Cantor algebra. Fix a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{C} (a real). Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

Examples

Let \mathbb{C} be Cantor algebra. Fix a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{C} (a real). Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

- if μ is the standard Lebesgue measure, then $\dot{\varphi}$ is a “random” real.

Examples

Let \mathbb{C} be Cantor algebra. Fix a measure algebra \mathbb{M} .

Let $\dot{\varphi}$ be a \mathbb{M} -name for an ultrafilter on \mathbb{C} (a real). Let μ be the measure induced by φ .

- if μ is the standard Lebesgue measure, then $\dot{\varphi}$ is a “random” real.
- if μ is non-atomic, then $\dot{\varphi}$ is a “new” real.

Example

Suppose that α is a property of subsets of ω such that

$A = \{x \in 2^\omega : \alpha(x)\}$ is not scattered.

Example

Suppose that α is a property of subsets of ω such that

$$A = \{x \in 2^\omega : \alpha(x)\} \text{ is not scattered.}$$

(e.g. $\alpha(x) = x$ is of asymptotic density 0)

Example

Suppose that α is a property of subsets of ω such that

$$A = \{x \in 2^\omega : \alpha(x)\} \text{ is not scattered.}$$

(e.g. $\alpha(x) = x$ is of asymptotic density 0)

- Let μ be a non-atomic measure on \mathbb{C} such that $\hat{\mu}(A) = 1$.

Example

Suppose that α is a property of subsets of ω such that

$$A = \{x \in 2^\omega : \alpha(x)\} \text{ is not scattered.}$$

(e.g. $\alpha(x) = x$ is of asymptotic density 0)

- Let μ be a non-atomic measure on \mathbb{C} such that $\hat{\mu}(A) = 1$.
- Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ be a homomorphism such that $\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi$.

Example

Suppose that α is a property of subsets of ω such that

$$A = \{x \in 2^\omega : \alpha(x)\} \text{ is not scattered.}$$

(e.g. $\alpha(x) = x$ is of asymptotic density 0)

- Let μ be a non-atomic measure on \mathbb{C} such that $\hat{\mu}(A) = 1$.
- Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ be a homomorphism such that $\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi$.
- Then $\dot{\varphi}$ is a name for a new subset and $1 \Vdash \alpha(\dot{\varphi})$.

Kunen's theorem



Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/Fin$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/Fin$.

Proposition

There is a \subseteq -chain of subsets of ω of size \mathfrak{c} in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/Fin$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Proposition

There is a \subseteq -chain of subsets of ω of size \mathfrak{c} in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Proof: $A_r = \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, r)$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Proposition

There is a \subseteq -chain of subsets of ω of size \mathfrak{c} in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Proof: $A_r = \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, r)$.

Proposition

Under MA each well-ordered chain in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ can be extended.

Kunen's theorem

Proposition

Assume GCH. Suppose $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$. Then there are $\alpha \neq \beta \in \omega_2$ and an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that $\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$ and $\Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

- Suppose the contrary. I.e.
1 \Vdash there is $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ strictly \subseteq^* -increasing in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

- Suppose the contrary. I.e.
1 \Vdash there is $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ strictly \subseteq^* -increasing in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
- We may assume that $\dot{T}_\alpha = \dot{\varphi}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_2$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

- Suppose the contrary. I.e.
 $1 \Vdash$ there is $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ strictly \subseteq^* -increasing in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
- We may assume that $\dot{T}_\alpha = \dot{\varphi}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_2$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$.
- Then we have an automorphism Φ swapping some $\alpha < \beta$ and $\Phi[\mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}] \Vdash \Phi \circ \dot{\varphi}_\alpha \subseteq^* \Phi \circ \dot{\varphi}_\beta$.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

- Suppose the contrary. I.e.
 $1 \Vdash$ there is $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ strictly \subseteq^* -increasing in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.
- We may assume that $\dot{T}_\alpha = \dot{\varphi}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_2$ and homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$.
- Then we have an automorphism Φ swapping some $\alpha < \beta$ and $\Phi[\mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}] \Vdash \Phi \circ \dot{\varphi}_\alpha \subseteq^* \Phi \circ \dot{\varphi}_\beta$.
- But this means that

$$\mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \Vdash \dot{\varphi}_\beta \subseteq^* \dot{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Kunen's theorem

Proposition

Assume GCH. Suppose $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$. Then there are $\alpha < \beta$ and an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that $\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$ and $\Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$.

- WLOG: there is a measure μ on \mathbb{C} such that $\mu = \lambda_{\omega_2} \circ \varphi_\alpha$ for each α .

Kunen's theorem

Proposition

Assume GCH. Suppose $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$. Then there are $\alpha < \beta$ and an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that $\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$ and $\Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$.

- WLOG: there is a measure μ on \mathbb{C} such that $\mu = \lambda_{\omega_2} \circ \varphi_\alpha$ for each α .
- Fix an independent family $\{C_n: n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ generating \mathbb{C} .

Kunen's theorem

Proposition

Assume GCH. Suppose $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$. Then there are $\alpha < \beta$ and an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that $\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$ and $\Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$.

- WLOG: there is a measure μ on \mathbb{C} such that $\mu = \lambda_{\omega_2} \circ \varphi_\alpha$ for each α .
- Fix an independent family $\{C_n: n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ generating \mathbb{C} .
- We say that $A \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *chunk* if it is of the form

$$A = (C_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{i_k}) \wedge (C_{j_0}^c \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{j_l}^c)$$

Kunen's theorem

Proposition

Assume GCH. Suppose $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2}$ is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$. Then there are $\alpha < \beta$ and an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that $\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$ and $\Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$.

- WLOG: there is a measure μ on \mathbb{C} such that $\mu = \lambda_{\omega_2} \circ \varphi_\alpha$ for each α .
- Fix an independent family $\{C_n: n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ generating \mathbb{C} .
- We say that $A \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *chunk* if it is of the form

$$A = (C_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{i_k}) \wedge (C_{j_0}^c \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{j_l}^c)$$

- We say that α and β are *symmetric* if for each chunks A, B

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for every chunks A and B .

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for every chunks A and B .

- If not, then there is a coloring $c: [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ assigning "witnesses".

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for every chunks A and B .

- If not, then there is a coloring $c: [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ assigning "witnesses".
- GCH + Erdős-Rado implies that there is an uncountable monochromatic Λ (WLOG $= \omega_1$) with color $\langle A, B \rangle$.

Claim. There are $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for every chunks A and B .

- If not, then there is a coloring $c: [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ assigning "witnesses".
- GCH + Erdős-Rado implies that there is an uncountable monochromatic Λ (WLOG $= \omega_1$) with color $\langle A, B \rangle$.
- "Then" for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.

Kunen's theorem

- For each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.

Kunen's theorem

- For each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.
- Let $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \omega_1} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.

Kunen's theorem

- For each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.
- Let $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \omega_1} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.
- By ccc there is $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \gamma} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.

Kunen's theorem

- For each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.
- Let $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \omega_1} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.
- By ccc there is $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \gamma} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.
- Then $D \wedge \varphi_\gamma(B) = 0$.

Kunen's theorem

- For each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have $\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0$ and $\varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) \neq 0$.
- Let $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \omega_1} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.
- By ccc there is $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that $D = \bigvee_{\alpha < \gamma} \varphi_\alpha(A)$.
- Then $D \wedge \varphi_\gamma(B) = 0$.
- But $\varphi_{\gamma+1}(A) \wedge \varphi_\gamma(B) \neq 0$ and $\varphi_{\gamma+1}(A) \leq D$. A contradiction.

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are α and $\beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for each chunks A and B .

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are α and $\beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for each chunks A and B .

So, by Sikorski's Extension Lemma, there is an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that

$$\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta \text{ and } \Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha.$$

Kunen's theorem

Claim. There are α and $\beta < \omega_2$ which are symmetric, i.e.

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) \wedge \varphi_\beta(B) = 0 \iff \varphi_\beta(A) \wedge \varphi_\alpha(B) = 0$$

for each chunks A and B .

So, by Sikorski's Extension Lemma, there is an automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\omega_2}$ such that

$$\Phi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta \text{ and } \Phi \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha.$$

and we are done.

Kunen's theorem

Theorem (Kunen)

In the classical random model there are no well ordered chains of size ω_2 in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$.

Kamburelis' theorem



Strictly positive measures

Definition

A Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} is σ -centered if there is a countable set of ultrafilters (u_n) on \mathbb{A} such that $\mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n u_n$.

Strictly positive measures

Definition

A Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} is σ -centered if there is a countable set of ultrafilters (u_n) on \mathbb{A} such that $\mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n u_n$.

Definition

A Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} *supports* a measure μ if $\mu(A) > 0$ for each nonzero $A \in \mathbb{A}$.

Strictly positive measures

Definition

A Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} is σ -centered if there is a countable set of ultrafilters (u_n) on \mathbb{A} such that $\mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n u_n$.

Definition

A Boolean algebra \mathbb{A} *supports* a measure μ if $\mu(A) > 0$ for each nonzero $A \in \mathbb{A}$.

\mathbb{A} - σ -centered \implies \mathbb{A} supports a measure \implies \mathbb{A} is ccc.

Kamburelis' theorem

Theorem (Kamburelis)

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra. TFAE

- \mathbb{A} supports a measure,
- there is κ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A}$ is σ -centered.

Ergodic automorphisms

Definition

A measure preserving automorphism $\varphi: (\mathbb{A}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}, \mu)$ is *ergodic* if for every non-null $N, M \in \mathbb{A}$ there is $n \in \omega$ such that $\varphi^n(N) \cap M \neq 0$.

Ergodic automorphisms

Definition

A measure preserving automorphism $\varphi: (\mathbb{A}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}, \mu)$ is *ergodic* if for every non-null $N, M \in \mathbb{A}$ there is $n \in \omega$ such that $\varphi^n(N) \cap M \neq 0$.

Theorem

For every $\kappa \geq \omega$ there is an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ .

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

Theorem

For every $\kappa \geq \omega$ there is an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ .

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

Theorem

For every $\kappa \geq \omega$ there is an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ .

For $\kappa = \omega$ consider $f: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ defined by

$$f(x) = e^{i\alpha}x$$

for an irrational α .

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

Theorem

For every $\kappa \geq \omega$ there is an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ .

For $\kappa = \omega$ consider $f: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ defined by

$$f(x) = e^{i\alpha}x$$

for an irrational α .

Let $\varphi(A) = f^{-1}[A]$.

Ergodic automorphisms of the measure algebras

- In general: consider

$$X = ([0, 1]^\kappa)^\mathbb{Z},$$

equipped with the product measure μ .

Ergodic automorphisms of the measure algebras

- In general: consider

$$X = ([0, 1]^\kappa)^\mathbb{Z},$$

equipped with the product measure μ .

- Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be the Bernoulli shift, i.e.

$$T(x)(n) = x(n + 1).$$

Ergodic automorphisms of the measure algebras

- In general: consider

$$X = ([0, 1]^\kappa)^\mathbb{Z},$$

equipped with the product measure μ .

- Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be the Bernoulli shift, i.e.

$$T(x)(n) = x(n + 1).$$

- T is mixing, i.e. for every non-null N, M we have

$$\lim_n \mu(T^{-n}[M] \cap N) = \mu(M)\mu(N).$$

Ergodic automorphisms of the measure algebras

- In general: consider

$$X = ([0, 1]^\kappa)^\mathbb{Z},$$

equipped with the product measure μ .

- Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be the Bernoulli shift, i.e.

$$T(x)(n) = x(n + 1).$$

- T is mixing, i.e. for every non-null N, M we have

$$\lim_n \mu(T^{-n}[M] \cap N) = \mu(M)\mu(N).$$

- So T induces an ergodic automorphism of $\text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0}$.

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

- T induces an ergodic automorphism of $\text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0}$.

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

- T induces an ergodic automorphism of $\text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0}$.
- By Maharam's theorem there is a measure preserving isomorphism $\psi: \text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$.

Ergodic automorphisms of measure algebras

- T induces an ergodic automorphism of $\text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0}$.
- By Maharam's theorem there is a measure preserving isomorphism $\psi: \text{Bor}(X)_{/\mu=0} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$.
- Let $\varphi = \psi \circ T^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}$.

Kamburelis' theorem

Theorem (Kamburelis)

Let \mathbb{A} be a Boolean algebra. TFAE

- \mathbb{A} supports a measure,
- there is κ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A}$ is σ -centered.

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that \mathbb{A} supports a measure μ .

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that \mathbb{A} supports a measure μ .
- By Maharam's theorem, there is κ and $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ such that

$$\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi.$$

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that \mathbb{A} supports a measure μ .
- By Maharam's theorem, there is κ and $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ such that

$$\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi.$$

- Let ψ be an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ and let

$$\varphi_n = \psi^n \circ \varphi.$$

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that \mathbb{A} supports a measure μ .
- By Maharam's theorem, there is κ and $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ such that

$$\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi.$$

- Let ψ be an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ and let

$$\varphi_n = \psi^n \circ \varphi.$$

- Let $A \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$, $P \in \mathbb{M}_\kappa \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is n such that

$$Q := \varphi_n[A] \cap P \neq 0.$$

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that \mathbb{A} supports a measure μ .
- By Maharam's theorem, there is κ and $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ such that

$$\mu = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi.$$

- Let ψ be an ergodic automorphism of \mathbb{M}_κ and let

$$\varphi_n = \psi^n \circ \varphi.$$

- Let $A \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$, $P \in \mathbb{M}_\kappa \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is n such that

$$Q := \varphi_n[A] \cap P \neq 0.$$

- $Q \Vdash A \in \dot{\varphi}_n$.

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that (φ_n) is such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n \dot{\varphi}_n.$$

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that (φ_n) is such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n \dot{\varphi}_n.$$

- Let $\mu_n = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi_n$.

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that (φ_n) is such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n \dot{\varphi}_n.$$

- Let $\mu_n = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi_n$.

- Let

$$\mu = \sum_n \mu_n / 2^{n+1}.$$

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that (φ_n) is such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n \dot{\varphi}_n.$$

- Let $\mu_n = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi_n$.

- Let

$$\mu = \sum_n \mu_n / 2^{n+1}.$$

- For each $A \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$ there is n such that $\varphi_n(A) \neq 0$.

Kamburelis' theorem

- Suppose that (φ_n) is such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_\kappa} \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_n \dot{\varphi}_n.$$

- Let $\mu_n = \lambda_\kappa \circ \varphi_n$.

- Let

$$\mu = \sum_n \mu_n / 2^{n+1}.$$

- For each $A \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$ there is n such that $\varphi_n(A) \neq 0$.
- Thus $\mu_n(A) > 0$ and so $\mu(A) > 0$.

Frechet-Nikodym metric

Definition

If \mathbb{M} is a measure algebra, then $d_\lambda: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$d_\lambda(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B)$$

is a metric (called *Frechet-Nikodym metric*).

Frechet-Nikodym metric

Definition

If \mathbb{M} is a measure algebra, then $d_\lambda: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$d_\lambda(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B)$$

is a metric (called *Frechet-Nikodym metric*).

Definition

We say that a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ converges *metrically pointwise* to a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ if

$$d_\lambda(\varphi_n(A), \varphi(A)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Frechet-Nikodym metric

Definition

If \mathbb{M} is a measure algebra, then $d_\lambda: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$d_\lambda(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B)$$

is a metric (called *Frechet-Nikodym metric*).

Definition

We say that a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ converges *metrically pointwise* to a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ if

$$d_\lambda(\varphi_n(A), \varphi(A)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark. Stone topology = pointwise convergence topology.

Pointwise convergence and convergence in the extension

Proposition (PBN, Sobota)

Suppose that

$\mathbb{M} \Vdash (\dot{\varphi}_n) \text{ converges to } \dot{\varphi}.$

Then (φ_n) converges metrically pointwise to φ .

Uniform convergence

Definition

We say that a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ converges *uniformly* to a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \forall n > N \forall A \in \mathbb{A} \ d_\lambda(\varphi_n(A), \varphi(A)) < \varepsilon.$$

Uniform convergence

Definition

We say that a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ converges *uniformly* to a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \forall n > N \forall A \in \mathbb{A} \ d_\lambda(\varphi_n(A), \varphi(A)) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem (PBN, Sobota, 2020)

Let \mathbb{M} be a measure algebra. If $\mathbb{M} \Vdash (\dot{\varphi}_n)$ converges trivially to $\dot{\varphi}$, then (φ_n) converges to φ uniformly.

Uniform convergence

Definition

We say that a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ converges *uniformly* to a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \forall n > N \forall A \in \mathbb{A} \ d_\lambda(\varphi_n(A), \varphi(A)) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem (PBN, Sobota, 2020)

Let \mathbb{M} be a measure algebra. If $\mathbb{M} \Vdash (\dot{\varphi}_n)$ converges trivially to $\dot{\varphi}$, then (φ_n) converges to φ uniformly.

If $\mathbb{M} \Vdash (\dot{\varphi}_n)$ converges non-trivially to $\dot{\varphi}$, then (φ_n) does not converge to φ uniformly.

Thank you and greetings from Wrocław

