

# Between the Pytkeev and Fréchet-Urysohn properties of function spaces

Lyubomyr Zdomskyy

Institute of Mathematics, University of Vienna

Warsaw Topology and Set Theory seminar  
Online, November 18, 2020

Based on a joint work in progress with S. Bardyla and J. Šupina.

# Fréchet-Urysohn spaces

All spaces are Tychonoff.

## Definition

- ▶  $Y$  is a *Fréchet-Urysohn space* (or, equivalently, has the *Fréchet-Urysohn property*) if for every  $A \subset Y$  and  $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$  there exists a sequence  $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in A^\omega$  converging to  $y$ .
- ▶  $Y$  has *countable tightness* (equivalently,  $t(Y) \leq \omega$ ) if for every  $A \subset Y$  and  $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$  there exists  $B \in [A]^\omega$  with  $y \in \bar{B}$ .  $\square$

$$Y \text{ is FU} \implies t(Y) \leq \omega$$

**Example.** All metrizable spaces are FU. More generally, all first-countable spaces are FU. All spaces  $X$  with  $t(X) \leq \omega$  and character  $< \mathfrak{p}$  are FU.  $\square$

## Theorem (Hrusak-Ramos Garcia 2014)

*It is consistent that all separable FU topological groups are metrizable.*

## Definition

$C_p(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ . We consider  $C_p(X)$  with the topology inherited from the Tychonoff product  $\mathbb{R}^X$ .  $\square$

**Easy Fact.**  $C_p(X)$  is metrizable iff  $C_p(X)$  is first-countable iff  $|X| \leq \omega$ .  $\square$

## Theorem (Arkhangel'skii-Pytkeev 1980s)

$t(C_p(X)) \leq \omega$  iff  $X^n$  is Lindelöf for all  $n \in \omega$ . In particular,  $t(C_p(X)) \leq \omega$  for every metrizable separable space.

## Definition

A family  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called

- ▶ an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ , if  $X \notin \mathcal{U}$  and for every  $K \in [X]^{<\omega}$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $K \subset U$ .
- ▶ a  $\gamma$ -cover of  $X$ , if for every  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \notin U\}$  is at most finite.

A space  $X$  is called a  $\gamma$ -space, if every open  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$  contains a  $\gamma$ -subcover.  $\square$

**Example.**  $\{(n, n+2) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is not an  $\omega$ -cover.

$\{(-n, n) : n \in \omega\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -cover of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\{U \subset \mathbb{R} : U \text{ is open and } \mu(U) < 1\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $\mathbb{R}$  without any  $\gamma$ -subcover, so  $\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $\gamma$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982)**

$C_p(X)$  is FU iff  $X$  is a  $\gamma$ -space.

# Intuition behind the proof of the Gerlits-Nagy theorem

For  $U \subset X$  and  $x \in X$  set  $\chi_U(x) = 0$  if  $x \in U$  and  $\chi_U(x) = 1$  otherwise. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a family of clopen subsets of  $X$ .

Easy to check:

$0 \in \overline{\{\chi_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}} \setminus \{\chi_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  iff  $\mathcal{U}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ .

Indeed, pick a basic open neighbourhood

$W := [1/2; x_0, \dots, x_n] = \{f \in C_p(X) : \forall i \leq n (|f(x_i)| < 1/2)\}$  of  $0$  in  $C_p(X)$  and note that  $\chi_U \in W$  iff  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset U$ .

Equally easy to check:

For  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\{\chi_{U_n} : n \in \omega\}$  converges to  $0$  iff  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -cover of  $X$ .

This proves the Gerlits-Nagy theorem for  $C_p(X, 2)$ .

## Examples of $\gamma$ -spaces

### Observation

*If  $X$  is a metrizable separable space of size  $< \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $X$  is a  $\gamma$ -space.* □

### Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982)

*If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\gamma$ -space, then  $X$  has the strong measure zero. In particular, there are no uncountable metrizable  $\gamma$ -spaces in the Laver model.* □

### Theorem (Galvin-A. Miller 1984)

*If  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ , then there exists a  $\gamma$ -space  $X \subset 2^\omega$  of size  $\mathfrak{p}$ .* □

### Theorem (Orenshtein-Tsaban 2011)

*If  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b}$ , then there exists a  $\gamma$ -space  $X \subset 2^\omega$  of size  $\mathfrak{p}$ .* □

Previously was unknown even under  $\mathfrak{d} = \omega_1!$

## Theorem (A. Miller 2005)

*In the Hechler model there are no uncountable metrizable  $\gamma$ -spaces. In particular, the existence of uncountable strong measure zero sets of reals does not imply the existence of uncountable  $\gamma$ -spaces of reals.*  $\square$

## Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

*Metrizable  $\gamma$ -spaces are preserved by Cohen forcing.*  $\square$

Later, in a joint work with Repovš we have introduced a property of proper posets which is satisfied by Cohen, Miller, and Sacks forcings, and such that metrizable  $\gamma$ -spaces are preserved by countable support iterations of posets with this property.

## Question (A. Miller)

*Suppose that  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\gamma$ -space and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|Y| < \mathfrak{p}$ . Is  $X \times Y$  a  $\gamma$ -space? What if  $|Y| = \omega_1$  and MA plus non-CH hold?*

# Weakening the FU property

## Definition

A space  $Y$  is

- ▶ **sequential**, if for every non-closed  $A \subset Y$  there exists  $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$  and a sequence of elements of  $A$  convergent to  $y$ .
- ▶ **subsequential**, if it can be embedded into a sequential space.
- ▶ **Pytkeev**, if for every  $A \subset Y$  and  $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$  there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{B}$  of **infinite** subsets of  $A$  such that for every open  $O \ni y$  there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $B \subset O$ .  $\square$

## Proposition (Pytkeev 1984)

*Subsequential spaces have the Pytkeev property.*  $\square$

## Theorem (Pytkeev 1982)

*$C_p(X)$  is sequential iff it is FU.*  $\square$



## Weakening the FU property, continued

FU  $\implies$  sequential  $\implies$  subsequential  $\implies$  Pytkeev  $\implies$  countable tightness.

**Question (Arkhangel'skii 198?)**

*Is the subsequentiality equivalent to the FU property for  $C_p$ -spaces?*

□

**Theorem (Malykhin 1999)**

*$C_p([0, 1])$  is not Pytkeev, and hence it is not subsequential.* □

**Theorem (A. Miller 2008)**

*Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. If  $C_p(X)$  is Pytkeev, then  $X$  has the strong measure zero with respect to any totally bounded continuous metric on it. Therefore  $X$  is zero-dimensional.*

*Moreover, in the Laver model  $|X| = \omega$  iff  $C_p(X)$  is Pytkeev.* □

**Question (Malykhin-Tironi 2000)**

*Does there exist a non-subsequential Pytkeev space in ZFC?* □

# M. Sakai 2006: Are the Pytkeev and FU properties equivalent for $C_p$ -spaces?

Theorem (Bardyla-Šupina-Z. 2020)

*(CH) There exists  $X \subset 2^\omega$  such that  $C_p(X)$  has the Pytkeev property but fails to be FU.* □

Theorem (Simon-Tsaban 2008)

*The minimal cardinality of a set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $C_p(X)$  does **not** have the Pytkeev property is equal to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .* □

Thus no solution to Sakai's problem by playing around with cardinal characteristics.

## Theorem (Simon-Tsaban 2008)

*TFAE for a zero-dimensional space  $X$ :*

- ▶  $C_p(X)$  has the Pytkeev property;
- ▶ Each clopen  $\omega$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  contains infinite subsets  $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  such that  $\{\cap \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ .  $\square$

The latter property of  $X$  will be denoted by  $(\pi)$ .

Note that if there exists an infinite  $\mathcal{U}_\infty \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_\infty \subset^* \mathcal{U}_n$  for all  $n$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_\infty$  is a  $\gamma$ -cover of  $X$ . This will give us later a hint which witnesses for  $(\pi)$  we should have in a potential counterexample.

**Remark.** In the characterization above it is enough to demand that for all finite  $K \subset X$  there exists  $n$  such that  $K \subset U$  for almost all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ .

## Ingredient 2 of the proof: Galvin-Miller Lemma

### Lemma (Galvin-Miller 1984)

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an  $\omega$ -cover of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ .

Then there exist an increasing number sequence  $\langle k_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{U}^\omega$  such that for every  $n$  and  $x \subset \omega$ , if  $x \cap [k_n, k_{n+1}) = \emptyset$ , then  $x \in U_n$ .

**Proof.** Enough to prove the following:

For every  $k \in \omega$  there exists  $k' > k$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that for every  $x \subset \omega$ , if  $x \cap [k, k') = \emptyset$ , then  $x \in U$ .

Hint: take  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U \supset \mathcal{P}(k)$  and using that it is open find suitable  $k'$ . □.

# Sufficient conditions for getting a counterexample

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . If the set  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \mathcal{G}^*$  satisfies

$$\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha (|x \cap a_\alpha| = \omega),$$

then  $X := \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$  is not a  $\gamma$ -space.

**Proof.** Note that  $\mathcal{O} = \{O_n : n \in \omega\}$ , where  $O_n = \{x \subset \omega : n \notin x\}$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ . The assumption on  $X$  states *literally* that  $\mathcal{O}$  has no  $\gamma$ -subcover of  $X$ . □

# Sufficient conditions for getting a counterexample II

## Lemma

(CH). Let  $\{k_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of all increasing sequences from  ${}^\omega\omega$  (each sequence repeated  $\omega_1$  many times) and  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . If for a partition  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  of  $\omega$ , the set  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  satisfies

$$\{n \in \omega : (\forall^\infty j \in I_n) [k_\beta(j), k_\beta(j+1)) \cap a_\alpha = \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{G}$$

for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , then  $X := \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$  has  $(\pi)$ .

**Proof.** Given an  $\omega$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , find  $\beta$  and  $\langle U_j : j \in \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{U}^\omega$  such that  $x \cap [k_\alpha(j), k_\alpha(j+1)) = \emptyset$  implies  $x \in U_j$ . . Set  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_j : j \in I_n\}$  and pick a finite  $s \subset \omega_1 \setminus \beta$ . For every  $\alpha \in s$  the set

$$G_\alpha := \{n \in \omega : (\forall^\infty j \in I_n) [k_\beta(j), k_\beta(j+1)) \cap a_\alpha = \emptyset\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$ , and note that

$$G_\alpha \subset \{n \in \omega : (\forall^\infty j \in I_n) a_\alpha \in U_j\}.$$

Pick  $n \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in s} G_\alpha$  and note that  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in s\} \subset U_j$  for almost all  $j \in I_n$ , i.e., for almost all  $U_j \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . Thus,

$$\{\bigcap \mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \text{ is a cofinite subset of } \mathcal{U}_n \text{ for some } n\}$$

is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$ . This is almost  $(\pi)$  for  $X$  :-)

□

## Bringing together two halves

Let  $\{k_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of all increasing sequences from  ${}^\omega\omega$  (each sequence repeated  $\omega_1$  many times) and  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Let  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  be a partition of  $\omega$ .

If the set  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{G}^*$  satisfies

$$\{n \in \omega : (\forall^\infty j \in I_n) [k_\beta(j), k_\beta(j+1)) \cap a_\alpha = \emptyset]\} \in \mathcal{G}$$

for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , and

$$\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha (|x \cap a_\alpha| = \omega),$$

then  $X := \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$  is not a  $\gamma$ -space but still satisfies  $(\pi)$ .

Now just do a rather straightforward transfinite construction to get the two conditions above fulfilled...

**Remark.** The construction could be done under  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ , but there is a model of  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b}$  where all sets we can get in *such* constructions are  $\gamma$ -sets.

# Is our result optimal/satisfactory?

No!!!

The property  $(\pi)$  is typical **combinatorial covering property** (selection principle), and being a  $\gamma$ -space is the strongest “standard” one. The weakest “standard” selection principle is the following **Menger** property:

*For every sequence  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of open covers of  $X$  there is a sequence  $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\omega}$  and  $\{\cup \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a cover of  $X$ .*

## Question

*Does  $(\pi)$  imply Menger? What about the subsets of  $2^\omega$ ?* □

Would be very helpful (among other, sufficient for the answer of the question above) to know the answer to the following

## Question

*Is  $(\pi)$  for subsets of  $2^\omega$  preserved by the Cohen forcing?* □

If yes, then in ZFC we would be able to conclude that  $(\pi)$  implies all finite powers being both Rothberger and Hurewicz, thus pushing it rather close to  $\gamma$ -spaces in the Scheepers diagram.



Dziękuję za uwagę.

Thank you for your attention.