

## Tutorial 13

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from distribution:

$$g(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta x^{\theta-1} & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \theta > 0$$

- (a) Find the MLE of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ . Is it unbiased? Is it UMVU?  
 (b) Show that  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$ . Is it UMVU?
2. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two discrete random variables with well defined expected values and variances. Prove that:

- (a)  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$   
 (b)  $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(E[X|Y]) + \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X|Y)]$ .

3. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$  be independent Bernoulli( $p$ ) variables and let

$$h(p) = \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > X_{n+1} \mid p \right).$$

- (a) Show that

$$T(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sum_{j=1}^n X_j > X_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $h(p)$ .

- (b) Find the UMVUE of  $h(p)$ .
4. Let  $X$  be an observation from the probability with mass function:

$$p(-1, \theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad p(0, \theta) = 1 - \theta, \quad p(1, \theta) = \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- (a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  and show that it is unbiased.  
 (b) Let

$$T(X) = \begin{cases} 2 & x = 1 \\ 0 & x = -1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \end{cases}$$

Show that  $T$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

- (c) Show that  $\hat{\theta}$  (maximum likelihood estimator) is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$  and that  $\mathbb{E}[T|\hat{\theta}] = \hat{\theta}$ .  
 Show that  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) < \text{Var}(T)$ .
5. Consider a Gaussian linear model  $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$ , where  $Y$  is an  $n$ -vector,  $X$  is  $n \times r$  of rank  $r$  ( $r < n$ ) and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$  and  $\beta$  is an  $r$ -vector of unknown parameters.  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Recall (from lectures) that the OLS estimator of  $\hat{\beta}$  is:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y.$$

Show that  $\hat{\beta}_i$  is UMVU for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and that  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-r} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \hat{Y}_j)^2$  is an UMVU estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , where  $\hat{Y} = X(X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y$ .

6. Let  $X$  be the number of dots showing when a fair die is rolled; i.e.

$$p_X(x) = \frac{1}{6} \quad x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.$$

Let  $Y$  be the number of heads obtained when  $X$  fair coins are tossed. Find

- (a) The mean and variance of  $Y$ .
  - (b) The MSPE (mean squared prediction error) of the optimal linear predictor of  $Y$  based on  $X$ . The optimal linear predictor is the function  $\hat{Y} = aX + b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are chosen such that  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$  and, subject to this constraint, to minimise  $\text{Var}(Y - \hat{Y})$ .
  - (c) The optimal linear predictor of  $Y$  given  $X = x$  for  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ .
7. A person walks into a clinic at time  $t$  and is diagnosed with a certain disease. At the same time ( $t$ ), a diagnostic indicator  $Z_0$  of the severity of the disease (e.g. a blood cell count or a virus count) is obtained. Let  $S$  be the unknown date in the past when the subject was infected. We are interested in the time  $Y_0 = t - S$  from infection until detection. Assume that the conditional density of  $Z_0$  (the present condition) given  $Y_0 = y$  is  $N(\mu + \beta y_0, \sigma^2)$ . Let

$$Z = \frac{Z_0 - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad Y = \frac{\beta}{\sigma} Y_0.$$

- (a) Show that the conditional density  $p(z|y)$  of  $Z$  given  $Y = y$  is  $N(y, 1)$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $Y$  has exponential density  $\pi(y) = \lambda \exp\{-\lambda y\} \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}$  where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $Z = z$  has density

$$\pi(y|z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2} c} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2\right\} \quad y > 0$$

where  $c$  is a suitable constant (depending on  $z$  and  $\lambda$ ). Compute  $c$  in terms of the c.d.f.  $\Phi$  for a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable.

- (c) Find the conditional density  $\pi_0(y_0|z_0)$  of  $Y_0$  given  $Z_0 = z_0$ .
- (d) Suppose it is known that  $Z_0 = z_0$ . Find an expression (in terms of the c.d.f for  $N(0, 1)$  and its inverse) for  $g(z_0)$ , the best predictor of  $Y_0$  given  $Z_0 = z_0$  using mean *absolute* prediction error  $\mathbb{E}[|Y_0 - g(Z_0)|]$ .
- (e) Let  $\phi$  denote the density function for a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable. Show that the best Mean Squared Prediction Error (MSPE) predictor of  $Y$  given  $Z = z$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z] = \frac{1}{c} \phi(\lambda - z) - (\lambda - z).$$

## Answers

1. (a) For  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ ,

$$\log L(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n) = n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log L(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

while  $\log L(\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta \rightarrow 0, \theta \rightarrow +\infty} -\infty$  hence unique maximiser which is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{-1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j}$ . Therefore:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \log X_j$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[ \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}} \right] = -\theta \int_0^1 x^{\theta-1} \log x dx = \theta \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta y} y dy = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

so  $\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ .

To show that it is UMVU: this is an exponential family;

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n x_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x_j) \exp \left\{ \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j + n \log \theta \right\}.$$

The sufficient statistic  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j$  is therefore *complete*. The UMVU estimator is therefore:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}} | T(X) \right] = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}}.$$

- (b)

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E}[X] = \theta \int_0^1 x^\theta dx = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$$

so  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$ .

To show that it is not UMVU,  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X} | \sum_i \log X_i]$  is the unique UMVU estimator and this is not equal to  $\bar{X}$  since with probability 1,  $\bar{X}$  is not a function of  $\sum_i \log X_i$ .

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] &= \sum_y p_Y(y) \left( \sum_x x p_{X|Y}(x|y) \right) = \sum_{x,y} x \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} \\ &= \sum_x x \left( \sum_y p_{X,Y}(x,y) \right) = \sum_x x p_X(x) = \mathbb{E}[X]. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(X) &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X^2|Y]] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X|Y)] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[X|Y])^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X|Y)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|Y]).\end{aligned}$$

3. (a) Trivially clear from the definition:  $T$  is a binary variable taking values in  $\{0, 1\}$ , therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}_p[T] = \mathbb{P}_p(T = 1) = h(p).$$

(b)  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $p$ , hence unique UMVUE is

$$S := \mathbb{E}[T | \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j].$$

Now, for each  $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[T | \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j = y] = \mathbb{P}(T = 1 | \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j = y) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j > X_{n+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j = y)}{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j = y)}.$$

The denominator is  $\binom{n+1}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n+1-y}$ ; the numerator is:

$$\begin{cases} 0 & y = 0 \\ \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j = 1, X_{n+1} = 0) = np(1-p)^n & y = 1 \\ \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j = 2, X_{n+1} = 0) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} p^2 (1-p)^{n-1} & y = 2 \\ \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j = y) = \binom{n+1}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n+1-y} & y \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Note: for  $y \geq 3$ , it always holds that  $\sum_{j=1}^n X_j > X_{n+1}$ . Putting this together gives:

$$\begin{cases} 0 & y = 0 \\ \frac{n}{n+1} & y = 1 \\ \frac{n-1}{n+1} & y = 2 \\ 1 & y \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

4. (a)

$$L(\theta; x) = \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\{-1, 1\}}(x) + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(x)$$

Clearly this is maximised for:  $\hat{\theta}(1) = \hat{\theta}(-1) = 1$      $\hat{\theta}(0) = 0$ .

To compute its expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} = \theta.$$

(b)  $\mathbb{E}[T(X)] = 2 \times \frac{\theta}{2} = \theta$  so it is unbiased.

(c) Note that  $\widehat{\theta}(X) = |X|$ . To show sufficiency:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x|X = y) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(X = x, |X| = y)}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(|X| = y)} = \begin{cases} 1 & x = 0, y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = \pm 1, y = 1 \\ 0 & \text{other} \end{cases}$$

which does not depend on  $\theta$ .

To prove *minimal* sufficiency: Any *reduction* is a function  $S : S(|X|) = \text{constant}$  so that  $\mathbb{P}_\theta(X \in \cdot | S) = \mathbb{P}_\theta(X \in \cdot)$  which does depend on  $\theta$ . hence  $S$  is not sufficient. Therefore  $\widehat{\theta}$  is *minimal* sufficient.

Clearly:

$$\mathbb{E}[T(X)|X] = \begin{cases} 0 & X = 0 \\ 1 & X = \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

Finally:  $\widehat{\theta} \sim Be(\theta)$  so that

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{\theta}) = \theta(1 - \theta).$$

while  $T = 2Z$  for  $Z \sim Be(\frac{\theta}{2})$  so that

$$\text{Var}(T) = 4 \frac{\theta}{2} (1 - \frac{\theta}{2}) = 2\theta(1 - \frac{\theta}{2})$$

which is clearly greater.

5. Unbiased follows directly from lectures:

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\beta}] = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t \mathbb{E}[Y] = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t X \beta = \beta.$$

For the sample standard deviation, let  $H = X(X^t X)^{-1} X^t$  then  $H$  is idempotent, of rank  $r$  and hence  $H = PDP^t$  where  $P$  is orthonormal and  $D = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  where 1 appears with multiplicity  $r$ . Hence

$$Y - \widehat{Y} = (I - H)Y = (I - H)X\beta + (I - H)\epsilon = (I - H)\epsilon.$$

Let  $\eta = P^t \epsilon$  then  $\eta \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ . Also,

$$(Y - \widehat{Y})^t (Y - \widehat{Y}) = \eta^t (I - D) \eta = \sum_{r+1}^n \eta_j^2$$

so that

$$\frac{(n-r)S^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{r+1}^n \left(\frac{\eta_j}{\sigma}\right)^2 \sim \chi_{n-r}^2.$$

From this,  $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$  so that the estimator is unbiased.

Now to show that the estimators are UMVU:

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta)\right\}$$

and the argument inside  $\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\cdot)\}$  is:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y^t y - y^t X\beta - \beta^t X^t y + \beta^t X^t X\beta).$$

The sufficient statistic is therefore:

$$T(y) = (y^t y, \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ji} y_j : i = 1, \dots, r).$$

$\hat{\beta}_i = \sum_{jk} (X^t X)^{-1}_{ij} X_{kj} y_k$  is clearly a linear function of the sufficient statistics. For the standard deviation:

$$(Y - \hat{Y}^t)(Y - \hat{Y}) = Y^t Y - \hat{Y}^t \hat{Y}$$

This holds since

$$Y^t \hat{Y} = Y^t H Y = Y^t H^t H Y = \hat{Y}^t \hat{Y}$$

Now  $\hat{Y} = X(X^t X)^{-1} X^t Y$  which is a (linear) function of the sufficient statistics and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[S^2 | T(Y)] = S^2.$$

The result follows by the Lehman-Scheffé theorem.

6. (a)  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{7}{4},$

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(Y|X)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[Y|X]) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[X] + \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}(X) = \frac{3}{8} + \frac{12.5 + 4.5 + 0.5}{24} = \frac{26.5}{24} = 1\frac{5}{48}.$$

(b)

$$\hat{Y} = aX + b$$

minimise

$$\text{Var}(Y - aX - b) = \text{Var}(Y) + a^2\text{Var}(X) - 2a\mathbf{C}(Y, X)$$

gives:

$$a = \frac{\mathbf{C}(Y, X)}{\text{Var}(X)}$$

We can show  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Var}(X)$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{E}[Y|X]] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[X^2]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[X]$$

hence

$$\mathbf{C}(Y, X) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Var}(X) \Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{Var}(Y - \hat{Y}) = \text{Var}(Y) - \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{7}{8}.$$

(c)

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[X].$$

Now using  $\hat{Y} = aX + b$  with  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  gives  $b = 0$  so that

$$\hat{Y} = \frac{1}{2}X.$$

7. (a)  $Z \sim N(y, 1)$  follows directly from rescaling.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(y|z) &= \frac{\pi(y)p(z|y)}{p(z)} \propto \lambda e^{-\lambda y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-y)^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2} + y(z-\lambda) - \frac{z^2}{2}\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(y|z) &= K \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}} \\ 1 &= \sqrt{2\pi}K \int_{-(z-\lambda)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}K \Phi(z - \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the  $N(0, 1)$  c.d.f., hence

$$\pi(y|z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Phi(z - \lambda)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}}$$

(c)

$$\pi_0(y_0|z_0) = \frac{\beta}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma\Phi\left(\frac{z_0-\mu}{\sigma} - \lambda\right)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta^2 y_0 - (z_0 - \mu - \lambda))^2\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{y_0>0\}}$$

- (d) First find  $h(z)$ , the best linear predictor of  $Y$  given  $Z = a$ . Then  $g(z_0) = \frac{\beta}{\sigma} h(\frac{z_0 - \mu}{\sigma})$ .  
 $h(z)$  is the value of  $h$  that minimises  $\int_0^\infty |y - h| \pi(y|z) dy$  so that  $h$  satisfies:

$$\int_0^h \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2\} dy = \int_h^\infty \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2\} dy$$

giving

$$\Phi(h - (z - \lambda)) - \Phi(-(z - \lambda)) = 1 - \Phi(h - (z - \lambda)),$$

$$\Phi(h - (z - \lambda)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Phi(-(z - \lambda)))$$

$$h(z) = (z - \lambda) + \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Phi(\lambda - z))\right).$$

- (e) We want to find  $h$  which minimises

$$\int_0^\infty (y - h)^2 \pi(y|z) dz$$

which is given by  $h(z) = \mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z]$ . This is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z] &= (z - \lambda) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}c} \int_0^\infty (y - (z - \lambda)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y - (z - \lambda))^2} dy \\ &= (z - \lambda) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}c} \int_0^{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z - \lambda)^2}} dx \\ &= (z - \lambda) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z - \lambda)^2}. \end{aligned}$$